

View Article Online View Journal

RSC Advances

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: P. K. Mural, M. Sharma, G. Madras and S. Bose*, RSC Adv.*, 2015, DOI: 10.1039/C5RA02877A.

This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This *Accepted Manuscript* will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the Information for Authors.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard Terms & Conditions and the Ethical quidelines still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

www.rsc.org/advances

A critical review on *in situ* reduction of graphene oxide during preparation of conducting polymeric nanocomposites

Prasanna Kumar S Mural^{1#}, Maya Sharma^{1#} Giridhar Madras², Suryasarathi Bose^{3*}

¹ Center for Nano Science and Engineering, 2 Department of Chemical Engineering, 3 Department of Materials Engineering, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore-560012, India

-

Graphene oxide (GO), prepared by chemical oxidation of graphite, serves as a building block for developing polymeric nanocomposites. However, their application in electrical conductivity is limited by the fact that the oxygen sites on GO trap electrons and impede charge transport. Conducting nanocomposites can be developed by reducing GO. Various strategies have been adopted to either reduce GO *ex situ*, before the composite preparation, or *in situ* during the development of the nanocomposites. The current state of research on *in situ* reduction of GO during the preparation of conducting polymeric nanocomposites is discussed in this review. The mechanism and the efficiency of reduction is discussed with respect to various strategies employed during the preparation of the nanocomposite, the type of polymer used, and the processing conditions employed etc. Its overall effect on the electrical conductivity of the nanocomposites is also discussed and the future outlook in this area is presented.

Keywords: GO; rGO; *in situ* reduction of GO; polymer composite; shear induced reduction.

Published on 30 March 2015. Downloaded by UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA on 05/04/2015 09:32:20.

Published on 30 March 2015. Downloaded by UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA on 05/04/2015 09:32:20.

 \overline{a}

^{*} Corresponding Author. E-mail address: sbose@materials.iisc.ernet.in

[#] Both PKS. Mural and M. Sharma contributed equally to this work.

1. Introduction

Published on 30 March 2015. Downloaded by UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA on 05/04/2015 09:32:20.

Published on 30 March 2015. Downloaded by UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA on 05/04/2015 09:32:20.

Graphite's lamellar structure is made up of individual layers that are held by van der Waals forces and are considered to be independent entities (graphene). These independent entities are known to possess unique electronic and mechanical properties. Some of the remarkable electronic and mechanical properties of graphene reported are \sim 200,000 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ of charge carrier mobility, high Young's modulus of \sim 1100 GPa and extraordinary fracture strength of 125 GPa. Apart from high electrical conductivity, they possess very high specific surface area of 2,630 m² g^{-1} and interesting transport phenomena such as the quantum Hall effect 1,2 . Besides flexibility in properties, mono layer graphene sheets offers myriad possibilities of chemical modification and functionalization $1, 3$. Thus, graphene can be potentially used as energy-storage materials, polymer composites and sensors etc. Yan et al.⁴ reported that graphene and few-layer graphene can be utilized for thermal management of advanced electronics wherein graphene acts as heat spreaders in transistors. Luo et al.,⁵ summarized various graphene based applications in energy storage such as solar cells, lithium ion secondary batteries and supercapacitors. In a recent article, Mittal et al., ⁶ reported that graphene exhibits restacking of sheets due van der Waals forces and strong $\pi-\pi$ stacking effects. The interaction between graphene sheets and polymer matrix plays a vital role in achieving high electrical percolation. Graphene sheets can also act as a cationic initiator for polymerization of polystyrene (PS) and poly(styrene–isoprene)⁷. Graphene polymer composites can be used for tissue engineering applications such as osteogenesis in 3D scaffolds^{8, 9}, selective gas/vapor sensors¹⁰ and in removal of heavy metal/ pathogenic bacteria from aqueous media $11-14$. GO based composites showed a significant surface enhanced Raman scattering $(SERS)^{15}$, which can be useful in developing ultrasensitive SERS-based immunosensing platforms¹⁶⁻¹⁸.

Graphite to individual 2D graphene conversion is widely carried out by chemical oxidation of graphite layer. The formed oxides serve as a precursor for cost-effective and mass production of graphene-based materials². These exfoliated layers of graphite sheets with oxygen moieties are referred to as graphene oxide (GO). GO offers flexibility in the large scale production of graphene based nanocomposite¹⁹. GO is widely synthesized via Brodie²⁰, Staudenmaier²¹ and Hummers methods^{17, 22}. All these methods focus on the oxidation of individual layers using strong acids and oxidants for the introduction of oxide groups such as epoxy, hydroxyl or carboxyl^{17, 23}. This oxidation results in the disruption of $sp²$ bonding. In

Page 3 of 23 RSC Advances

Published on 30 March 2015. Downloaded by UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA on 05/04/2015 09:32:20.

Published on 30 March 2015. Downloaded by UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA on 05/04/2015 09:32:20.

addition, these oxygen sites trap electrons that results in electrically insulating materials. High electrical conductivity can be achieved by effective removal of oxygen species. Various strategies that are reported in the literature for restoring electrical conductivity are chemical reduction, thermally reduction, microwave assisted reduction²⁴, photocatalysis^{2, 17, 25, 26} and in situ reduction of GO during processing. Apart from these techniques, some literature exist that utilized UV treatment for further reduction of GO^{27} . There are plenty of reviews available on the techniques mentioned above². However, the state of research on *in situ* reduction of GO, during the preparation of the nanocomposite, has not received much attention although the field is gaining significant interest among the scientific community.

Given the brevity of this review, we focus here mainly on the *in situ* reduction of GO during the preparation of conducting nanocomposites. The *in situ* reduction of GO is carried out mainly during *in situ* polymerization or during melt processing²⁸. The following section will highlight the current state of research on *in situ* reduction of GO to design and develop conducting polymeric nanocomposites from GO as the starting material.

2. In situ polymerization and simultaneous reduction of GO

The *in situ* polymerization involves polymerization of polar or hydrophilic monomers that can interact and intercalate into the stack of GO sheets. This results in exfoliation and isolation of GO sheets. To accomplish this, GO is initially dispersed in a polar solvent by ultrasonication. The dispersed GO sheets are then mixed with monomers and subsequently *in situ* polymerized at high temperature and under inert atmosphere. The *in situ* polymerization at high temperature ensures proper dispersion and reduction of GO. Hence, the obtained reduced GO composite shows 3-4 orders of higher conductivity compared to GO based composites. This strategy was adopted by Liu et al.²⁹ in which simultaneous dispersion and thermo-reduction of GO occurs during *in situ* melt polycondensation reaction. GO was initially dispersed in ethylene glycol and then the composites were prepared via *in situ* polymerization of terephthalic acid (PTA) (Figure 1). Further, it was demonstrated that polyester chains were successfully grafted onto GO sheets during polymerization, accompanied by the thermo-reduction from GO to reduced graphene oxide. The reported increase in conductivity of the composite is ca. $0.56 S m⁻¹$ in striking contrast to GO based composites $(7x10^{-4} S m^{-1})$. They concluded that the chemical bonding between the polymer chain and GO is favorable for the improvement of interfacial interactions in the

composites. In a recent study, Jin et al.³⁰ adopted similar strategy to graft PBS (Poly(butylene succinate)) chains onto graphene oxide during *in situ* polymerization, which is accompanied by the thermo-reduction from GO to graphene. In an another recent work 31 , an one-step approach was utilized to reduce and functionalize graphene oxide (GO) during the *in situ* polymerization of phenol and formaldehyde. The *in situ* reduction of graphene oxide provides homogenous dispersion of graphene into polymer matrix and additionally improves the electrical and thermal conductivity in the composite $32-36$.

Figure 1: The synthesis of reduced polymer composite via *in situ* melt polycondensation (Liu et al.²⁹ Reproduced by the permission of The Royal Society of Chemistry).

3. In situ reduction of GO during compositing process

Published on 30 March 2015. Downloaded by UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA on 05/04/2015 09:32:20.

Published on 30 March 2015. Downloaded by UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA on 05/04/2015 09:32:20.

The *in situ* reduction of GO during compositing process involves dispersion of GO followed by thermal reduction resulting in a composite with monolayer reduced GO. This strategy offers a simple fabrication procedure that prevents restacking and aggregation of reduced GO sheets in a given polymer matrix. Figure 2 illustrates the method of *in situ* reduction during compositing process.

Published on 30 March 2015. Downloaded by UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA on 05/04/2015 09:32:20.

Figure 2: In situ reduction during compositing process (Tang et al.³⁷ Reproduced by permission of American Chemical Society Copyright © 2012, American Chemical Society)

Recently, Tang et al.³⁷ explored this strategy for poly (vinylidene fluoride) PVDF based GO composites, wherein they showed well-isolated reduced GO sheets in PVDF. Initially, they dispersed GO in DMF to yield highly exfoliated GO followed by mixing with PVDF. Thus, obtained PVDF/GO composites were then hot pressed at 200 $^{\circ}$ C for 2 h. Hot pressing leads to in situ reduction of GO that is evident from the change in color from brown to black. This color change is attributed to the efficient removal of oxygen functional groups and partially restoring the graphitic structure. From Figure 2, it is evident that PVDF/GO composite was initially brown suggesting uniform dispersion of GO in PVDF. However, after reduction, the films turned black. Restoring graphitic structure can lead to π -conjugation, which renders electrical conductivity in the composites. Further, they demonstrated a three-order increase in conductivity with respect to GO composites at a relatively low fraction of 0.16 vol. % of reduced GO. Due to immobilization of GO, thin layer of sheets percolate into composites and lead to low percolation threshold. The single layer of reduced GO was further confirmed by TEM (as shown in Figure 2) and suggested that polymer with higher thermal stability could even enhance the conductivity by complete reduction of GO to graphene.

In another study³⁸, PVDF/GO composites were prepared by solution mixing in DMF. The resultant composite was coagulated with water. The obtained PVDF/GO mixture was then hot

pressed at 200 °C at 50 MPa into sheets of about 0.4 mm thickness. After hot pressing, the color of the composite changed from grey to black suggesting thermal reduction of GO into reduced GO. These sheets were used as master batch for the preparation of PVDF/GO composites using a melt compounder. They demonstrated a significant improvement in conductivity of the composite i.e. ca. $3x10^{-7}$ S cm⁻¹ at a loading of 0.17 vol. % of reduced GO. Further, at 1 vol. % of reduced GO, a conductivity of 2×10^{-3} S cm⁻¹ was obtained and the change in conductivity with processing temperature was studied. The processing temperature affects the percolation threshold of TRG. For instance, PVDF-TRG composite processed (hot pressed) at 200 $^{\circ}$ C exhibited a percolation threshold of 0.12 ± 0.02 vol.% (as shown in figure 3) in contrast to the composite processed at 190 and 210 °C which showed similar percolation thresholds of 0.17 ± 0.02 vol.% and 0.17 ± 0.01 vol.%, respectively.

Published on 30 March 2015. Downloaded by UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA on 05/04/2015 09:32:20.

Published on 30 March 2015. Downloaded by UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA on 05/04/2015 09:32:20.

Figure 3: Conductivity plot of PVDF with various vol.% of TRG-PVDF (He et al.³⁸) Reproduced by the permission of The Royal Society of Chemistry)

Published on 30 March 2015. Downloaded by UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA on 05/04/2015 09:32:20.

Table 1. Critical percolation threshold and electrical conductivity of reduced GO-PVDF composites hot-pressed at different temperature³⁸ (Reproduced by the permission of The Royal Society of Chemistry)

The reduction of GO in situ during compression molding was also studied by Ding-Xiang et al.³⁹ wherein they distributed GO on the surface of ultra-high molecular weight poly(ethylene) (UHMWPE) particles followed by compression molding. It is evident that GO sheets are distributed at the interface of UHMWPE particles and further reduction of GO was assisted by hot pressing at 280 °C and 10 MPa pressure for 30 min. Hot pressing ensured reduction of GO to reduced GO *in situ*. The distribution of reduced GO sheets at the interface yielded a low percolation threshold of 0.66 vol. % in UHMWPE and an electrical conductivity of ca. 3.4 S m^{-1} .

GO+PS in distilled water

In-situ reduction of RGO+PS Solid phase formation under high-pressure

s-rGO/PS composite

Figure 4: Schematic of *in situ* reduction of GO in PS and its composite preparation with optical, TEM micrograph of rGO layers at interface of PS and Conductivity with varying *in situ* reduced GO in PS (Yan et al.⁴⁰ Reproduced by permission of John Wiley and Sons, Copyright © 2014 Wiley Ltd. All rights reserved).

Published on 30 March 2015. Downloaded by UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA on 05/04/2015 09:32:20.

Yan et al.⁴⁰ utilized segregated architectures to distribute reduced GO at the interface of polymer granules rather than distributing in volume in the matrix. These segregated architectures lead to reduction of percolation threshold hence resulting in an increase in electrical conductivity. They utilized polystyrene (PS) of different particle size and observed PS with higher particle size to yield lower percolation threshold. They reported percolation threshold of 0.09 vol. % in PS and conductivity of order of c.a 10^{-2} S m⁻¹ at \sim 1 vol. % of reduced GO (Figure 4).

In another study by Shen et al.⁴¹, GO was mixed with poly(vinyl pyrrolidone) (PVP) and glucose (which acts as a reducing agent). GO-PVP and GO-glucose was then mixed with poly(lactic acid) (PLA) in DMF. The resultant solution containing GO-PVP and GO-glucose was then coagulated using methanol. The formed precipitate was dried, transferred to a mold and hot pressed at 210 °C. This process results in the reduction of GO facilitated by both thermal and chemical processes. The resultant composite with 1.25 vol. % of GO-glucose showed a significant high conductivity of 2.2 S m⁻¹ in striking contrast to neat PLA (10^{-15} S m⁻¹). The high electrical conductivity was attributed to the improved exfoliation and dispersion of reduced GO

Published on 30 March 2015. Downloaded by UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA on 05/04/2015 09:32:20.

glucose further facilitating in the formation of interconnected conducting network within the PLA matrix. Figure 5 shows the electrical conductivity as a function of particle concentration for different PLA composites.

Figure 5: Plots of electrical conductivity versus filler loading for PLA composites (Shen et al.⁴¹ Reproduced by permission of Elsevier, Copyright © 2012 Elsevier Ltd.)

Zhu et al.⁴² reported reduction of GO by dispersing in propylene carbonate (PC) at pH 3 by bath sonication. The obtained PC solution was heat treated at 150 °C for 12 h resulting in reduced GO. Composite samples were dried in vacuum at 80 °C, and resulted in a conductivity of 2100 and 1800 S m⁻¹ for the samples, which were heat treated at 150 and 200 $^{\circ}$ C, respectively. Further, vacuum annealing at 250 °C for 12 h resulted in a conductivity of 5230 S m⁻¹ and 2640 S m⁻¹ for the samples which were subjected to heat treatment at 150 and 200 °C, respectively. In this work, they also discussed the dispersion of GO in the PC matrix, and observed that the dispersion of GO in PC may not be due to electrostatic repulsion but can be due to the high dipole moment of PC. Figure 6 shows the state of dispersion of GO in PC as well as in the solvent.

Figure 6: (a) Optical images of a graphene oxide suspension in PC (top) before and (bottom) after heating at 150 °C for 12 h. (b) SEM image of graphene oxide platelets deposited on a Si substrate. (Zhu et al.⁴² Reproduced by permission of American Chemical Society Copyright © 2010, American Chemical Society)

Published on 30 March 2015. Downloaded by UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA on 05/04/2015 09:32:20.

Published on 30 March 2015. Downloaded by UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA on 05/04/2015 09:32:20.

In a recent study, *in situ* reduction of GO was reported during the compositing process in the presence of surface functionalized octadecylamine $(ODA)^{43}$. The presence of long octadecyl chain resulted in the hydrophobicity in GO and also facilitated in its efficient reduction. Further, by hot pressing at 210 °C in the presence of PS matrix, electrically conducting samples were obtained. Thermal reduction in presence of PS resulted in a sharp transition from insulator to conductor. The GO-ODA showed 2 orders of higher electrical conductivity when subjected to thermal treatment as displayed in Figure 7. Hence, it can be concluded that surface modification of GO along with thermal reduction may assist in both improved dispersion and simultaneous increase in electrical conductivity.

Published on 30 March 2015. Downloaded by UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA on 05/04/2015 09:32:20.

Page 11 of 23 RSC Advances

Figure 7: The electrical conductivities of GO and GO–ODA before and after the thermal treatment. (Li et al.⁴³ Reproduced by permission of Elsevier, Copyright 2011 Elsevier Ltd.)

Another strategy commonly used to reduce GO is by changing polymer chemistry. The latter can play an important role in assisting thermal reduction of GO during hot pressing. Glover et al⁴⁴. studied the *in situ* reduction of GO in poly(vinyl pyrrolidone), poly (vinyl acetate), and poly (vinyl pyrrolidone/vinyl acetate) and they demonstrated that the chemical architecture exhibited by these polymer had strong influence on the extent of thermal reduction. They compared time/temperature relationship for GO reduction in air and in dimethylformamide under the same temperature conditions. The efficiency of reduction was reported based on the change in the C/O (carbon/oxygen) ratio (see Table 2). They showed that reduction of GO depends on time/temperature history and also on the polymer chemistry. They reported an increase in electrical conductivity by 2 orders in all the composites

4. Mechanism of in situ reduction: synergistic effects from functionalization and heat

The thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA) of GO is characterized by three transitions which can be attributed to vaporization of hydroxyl group $(< 100 °C)$ and loss of carbonyl group as CO or CO₂ at 120-150 °C and 200-260 °C. From TGA of GO, it is clear that temperature above 200 °C is critical for reduction of oxygen moieties on the GO surface and hence a processing temperature above 200 °C will result in efficient reduction of GO. It is equally important to select a polymer that degrades well above 200 °C. Henceforth, optimum processing time, the thermal stability of polymer and the resistivity of the nanocomposites as a function of annealing time is necessary to understand the mechanism of thermal reduction of GO. Glover et al.⁴⁴ studied the effect of various polymers with respect to the temperatures. The C/O ratio in GO was monitored, as described in Table 2.

Published on 30 March 2015. Downloaded by UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA on 05/04/2015 09:32:20.

Table 2: C/O ratio of GO as a Function of Reduction Time and Temperature (Glover et al.⁴⁴) Reproduced by permission of American Chemical Society Copyright © 2011, American Chemical Society)

In addition, the polymer chemistry i.e. polarity and aromaticity dictates efficient reduction and the overall state of dispersion. The exact mechanism of reduction of GO is not yet known, which is due to lack of direct measurement of the reduction process and the chemical reactions that take place during processing in the melt. However, with the limited results, Ye et al.⁴⁵ arrived at some understanding. The decrease in the reducing temperatures in the presence of polymer is related to the interactions between GO sheets and the polymer matrix. Further, Rourke et al.⁴⁶ proposed that GO are large sheets of graphene with oxidative debris adhered to sheets by $\pi-\pi$ stacking or van der Waals interactions.

Figure 8 illustrates schematically the GO sheets and its interaction with the polymer. From figure 8, it is clear that aromatic polymer such as polystyrene (PS) initially adsorb on the graphene sheets by $\pi-\pi$ stacking between the continuous phenyl rings and the conjugated basal planes of graphene. This adsorption facilitates intercalation of GO sheets. Further, the π - π stacking counter balances the interactions between graphene sheets and oxidative debris, thus facilitating strong adhesion of polymer chains on the sheets. This adhesion will eliminate the oxidative debris from

Published on 30 March 2015. Downloaded by UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA on 05/04/2015 09:32:20.

the surface of the sheet. Both the attachment of polymer chain and the elimination of oxidative debris leads to the reduction of GO thermally, and requires low energy and low reducing temperatures. It is envisaged that polar polymers, such as PMMA, forms hydrogen bonding with the oxidative debris. This further assists in peeling off the oxidative debris from the sheet. As a result, the reduction of GO in polar polymers require relatively less energy and reducing temperatures.

Figure 8: Schematic of GO sheets model and its interaction with polymer. (Ye et al.⁴⁵) Reproduced by the permission of The Royal Society of Chemistry)

Apart from enhanced electrical conductivity during *in situ* reduction of GO, observed to compatibilize immiscible poly (methyl methacrylate)/polystyrene (PMMA/PS, 80/20) blends⁴⁷. The droplet diameter of the dispersed phase (PS) phase significantly reduced in the presence of GO. During processing, at higher temperatures, the *in situ* thermal reduction of GO renders more hydrophobicity in GO and further suppresses the coalescence of PS droplets. However, the electrical conductivity of the samples were not reported in this study.

Recently. Tan et al.⁴⁸, covalently functionalized GO with a copolymer and converted GO to reduced GO *in situ* during molding of PMMA/PS blends. This facile method resulted in localization of GO at the interface of PMMA/PS blends and further resulted in low percolation threshold of 0.02 vol. %. This is the lowest reported value in context to graphene as a nanofillers. Further, from Figure 9, it is evident that the conductivity increased by 2 orders of magnitude for

the composite containing P(St-co-MMA) copolymer grafted GO. The TEM image indicated localization of GO sheets at the interface.

Figure 9: Schematic of covalent functionalization of GO and Conductivity plot of PS/PMMA blend with rGO and P(St-co-MMA) copolymer grafted GO. (Tan et al.⁴⁸ Reproduced by the permission of The Royal Society of Chemistry)

5. Shear induced *in situ* **reduction of GO**

Published on 30 March 2015. Downloaded by UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA on 05/04/2015 09:32:20.

Published on 30 March 2015. Downloaded by UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA on 05/04/2015 09:32:20.

From the above discussion, it is clear that temperature and external forces like compression strain can assist in the *in situ* reduction of GO. However, in practice, heat can be accompanied by shear forces for further reduction of GO. In this context, Ye et al. studied⁴⁵ the *in situ* reduction of GO in quiescent melt and under shear. They demonstrated that the composites which were sheared in the melt had a higher degree of reduction as compared to quiescent condition. They observed that the reduction was quite high under low shear, which further confirms the effect of shear on reduction of GO. This efficient reduction is associated with enhanced π - π stacking and the interaction between graphene sheets and the matrix. Further, they showed that an increase in conductivity of ca. 4 orders after shear. This was ascribed to significant reduction of GO during melt blending under high shear forces. Moreover, they observed that the central part of the composite sample (as shown in Figure 10) exhibited higher reduction than the outer part by monitoring the enthalpy. This change in enthalpy was attributed to energy consumption required

Published on 30 March 2015. Downloaded by UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA on 05/04/2015 09:32:20.

for the reduction of GO. As the outer part of the sample experiences higher shear force than the central part, the degree of reduction is higher at the center.

Figure 10: Shows (a) schematic of DSC scans of GO in various zones (b) exhibits conductivity of neat polystyrene composite, quiescent and sheared polystyrene composite (Ye et al. 45 Reproduced by the permission of The Royal Society of Chemistry)

Another less explored strategy for *in situ* reduction of GO is the use of materbatch. You et al.⁴⁹ prepared a master batch of graphene oxide with styrene–ethylene/butylene–styrene (SEBS) triblock copolymer by melt mixing at 225 °C and they obtained a high degree of reduction. The resultant master batch was further diluted with polystyrene in the subsequent melt mixing process for improving mechanical properties. They reported three orders of magnitude increase in conductivity in reduced GO that was extracted from SEBS as shown schematically in Figure 11.

Figure 11: In situ reduction of GO via mater batch in polystyrene (You et al.⁴⁹ Reproduced by

permission of John Wiley and Sons, Copyright © 2014 Wiley Ltd. All rights reserved)

6. Conclusions and Outlook

Published on 30 March 2015. Downloaded by UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA on 05/04/2015 09:32:20.

Published on 30 March 2015. Downloaded by UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA on 05/04/2015 09:32:20.

Recent advances in *in situ* reduction of GO during the preparation of conducting polymeric nanocomposites has been reviewed here. The effect of presence of oxygen species on the overall electrical properties of the nanocomposites is juxtaposed to highlight and compare the efficacy of the various strategies employed to reduce GO. Several strategies such as *in situ* polymerization, hot press, and shear are critically assessed here. *In situ* polymerization and simultaneous reduction of GO in polymer matrix, demonstrate homogeneous dispersion of reduced GO which results in lower electrical percolation threshold. From the existing literature, it is evident that hot press is not sufficient for complete reduction of GO but the efficacy of reduction can be enhanced many folds if coupled with shear forces. Among the different strategies, shear in combination with heat was observed to be the most effective strategy. This opens new avenues in designing GO based conducting nanocomposites. Figure 12 outlines various strategies involving *in situ* reduction of GO and Table 3 highlights the electrical conductivities achieved by *in situ* reduction of GO. The effect of polymer chemistry on the *in situ* reduction of GO is scarce and need to be explored more. Figure 13 shows a pie chart of various strategies adopted for in situ reduction of GO. It is interesting to note that *in situ* polymerization and simultaneous reduction of GO provide a homogeneous dispersion and reduce the electrical percolation threshold. Shear induced reduction of GO shows promising reduction of GO and this method needs to explored in detail in future.

From the existing literature concerning the reduction of GO it is apparent that *in situ* reduction of GO to design highly conducting polymer composites is a better way as compared to *ex situ* reduction processes which often involves harsh treatments. More emphasis should be given in this direction and more research should be pursued towards *in situ* reduction of GO during the composite preparation.

Figure 12: Outline of various strategies to reduce GO

Figure 13: Pie chart of various strategies to reduce GO in situ

Matrix	Reduced GO content (vol. $\%$)	Reduction Method	Conductivity $(S m^{-1})$	Reference
	100		750	39
UHMWPE	0.66	Compression Molding	3.4	39
PVDF	0.75	Compression Molding	0.02	50
PVDF	1.70	Solar Electromagnetic Radiation	0.04	51
PVDF	2.75	Compression Molding	0.0002	37
PA ₆	1.07	<i>In situ</i> polymerization	0.028	52
PMMA	2.79	Compression Molding	0.94	45
SEBS	2.13	Compression Molding	0.34	45
PET	17.4	<i>In situ</i> polymerization	0.56	29

Table 3. Various polymer composites involving *in situ* reduction of GO and their electrical conductivity 39 .

18

RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript

RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript

Published on 30 March 2015. Downloaded by UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA on 05/04/2015 09:32:20

Page 19 of 23 RSC Advances

4. References

- 1. Y. Zhu, S. Murali, W. Cai, X. Li, J. W. Suk, J. R. Potts and R. S. Ruoff, Graphene and graphene oxide: synthesis, properties, and applications, *Advanced materials*, 2010, 22, 3906-3924.
- 2. S. Pei and H.-M. Cheng, The reduction of graphene oxide, *Carbon*, 2012, **50**, 3210-3228.
- 3. D. Boukhvalov and M. Katsnelson, Chemical functionalization of graphene *Physics: Condensed Matter, 2009, 21, 344205.*
- 4. Z. Yan, D. L. Nika and A. A. Balandin, Thermal properties of graphene and few-layer graphene: applications in electronics, *IET Circuits*, *Devices & Systems*, 2015.
- 5. B. Luo, S. Liu and L. Zhi, Chemical Approaches toward Graphene-Based Nanomaterials and their Applications in Energy-Related Areas, *Small*, 2012, 8, 630-646.
- 6. G. Mittal, V. Dhand, K. Y. Rhee, S.-J. Park and W. R. Lee, A review on carbon nanotubes and graphene as fillers in reinforced polymer nanocomposites *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry, 2015, 21, 11-25.*
- 7. B. Li, W. Hou, J. Sun, S. Jiang, L. Xu, G. Li, M. A. Memon, J. Cao, Y. Huang, C. W. Bielawski and J. Geng, Tunable Functionalization of Graphene Oxide Sheets through Surface-Initiated Cationic Polymerization, Macromolecules, 2015, 48, 994-1001.
- 8. S. Kumar, S. Raj, E. Kolanthai, A. K. Sood, S. Sampath and K. Chatterjee, Chemical Functionalization of Graphene To Augment Stem Cell Osteogenesis and Inhibit Biofilm Formation on Polymer Composites for Orthopedic Applications, ACS Applied Materials *& Interfaces, 2015, 7, 3237-3252.*
- 9. S. Kumar and K. Chatterjee, Strontium eluting graphene hybrid nanoparticles augment osteogenesis in a 3D tissue scaffold *\$*, 2015.
- 10. T. Thanh Tung, M. Castro, J. Feller and T. Kim, in *Graphene-Based Polymer Nanocomposites in Electronics*, eds. K. K. Sadasivuni, D. Ponnamma, J. Kim and S. Thomas, Springer International Publishing, 2015, pp. 253-275.
- 11. H. T. Xing, J. H. Chen, X. Sun, Y. H. Huang, Z. B. Su, S. R. Hu, W. Weng, S. X. Li, H. X. Guo, W. B. Wu, Y. S. He, F. M. Li and Y. Huang, NH2-rich polymer/graphene oxide use as a novel adsorbent for removal of Cu(II) from aqueous solution *Engineering Journal, 2015, 263, 280-289.*
- 12. S. Zhan, D. Zhu, S. Ma, W. Yu, Y. Jia, Y. Li, H. Yu and Z. Shen, Highly Efficient Removal of Pathogenic Bacteria with Magnetic Graphene Composite *!## Materials & Interfaces, 2015, 7, 4290-4298.*
- 13. D. Dinda and S. K. Saha, Sulphuric acid doped poly diaminopyridine/graphene composite to remove high concentration of toxic Cr (VI), Journal of Hazardous Materials, 2015.
- 14. S. Xia and M. Ni, Preparation of poly (vinylidene fluoride) membranes with graphene oxide addition for natural organic matter removal, Journal of Membrane Science, 2015, **473**, 54-62.
- 15. Q. Huang, J. Wang, W. Wei, Q. Yan, C. Wu and X. Zhu, A facile and green method for synthesis of reduced graphene oxide/Ag hybrids as efficient surface enhanced Raman scattering platforms, *Journal of hazardous materials*, 2015, 283, 123-130.
- 16. S. Wu, Z. Liu, N. Liu and Z. Ma, Oligomeric 2-aminothiophenol decorated carboxyl graphene: A new surface enhanced Raman reporter and its application in immunosensing *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical, 2015, 206, 502-507.*

- 17. D. R. Dreyer, S. Park, C. W. Bielawski and R. S. Ruoff, The chemistry of graphene oxide, *Chemical Society Reviews*, 2010, 39, 228-240.
- 18. S. Chen, X. Li, Y. Zhao, L. Chang and J. Qi, Graphene oxide shell-isolated Ag nanoparticles for surface-enhanced Raman scattering, *Carbon*, 2015, 81, 767-772.
- 19. M. Segal, Selling graphene by the ton, *Nature nanotechnology*, 2009, 4, 612-614.
- 20. B. C. Brodie, On the Atomic Weight of Graphite, *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, 1859, 149, 249-259.*
- 21. L. Staudenmaier, Method for the preparation of graphitic acid, *Ber Dtsch Chem Ges*, 1898, 31, 1481-1487.
- 22. W. S. Hummers and R. E. Offeman, Preparation of Graphitic Oxide *American Chemical Society*, 1958, 80, 1339-1339.
- 23. S. Unarunotai, Y. Murata, C. E. Chialvo, N. Mason, I. Petrov, R. G. Nuzzo, J. S. Moore and J. A. Rogers, Conjugated carbon monolayer membranes: methods for synthesis and integration, *Advanced Materials*, 2010, 22, 1072-1077.
- 24. Y. Zhu, S. Murali, M. D. Stoller, A. Velamakanni, R. D. Piner and R. S. Ruoff, Microwave assisted exfoliation and reduction of graphite oxide for ultracapacitors Carbon, 2010, 48, 2118-2122.

Published on 30 March 2015. Downloaded by UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA on 05/04/2015 09:32:20

- 25. C. K. Chua and M. Pumera, Chemical reduction of graphene oxide: a synthetic chemistry viewpoint, *Chemical Society Reviews*, 2014, 43, 291-312.
- 26. D. R. Dreyer, R. S. Ruoff and C. W. Bielawski, From conception to realization: An historial account of graphene and some perspectives for its future, *Angewandte Chemie International Edition, 2010, 49, 9336-9344.*
- 27. W.-F. Ji, K.-C. Chang, M.-C. Lai, C.-W. Li, S.-C. Hsu, T.-L. Chuang, J.-M. Yeh and W.-R. Liu, Preparation and comparison of the physical properties of PMMA/thermally reduced graphene oxides composites with different carboxylic group content of thermally reduced graphene oxides, *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 2014, **65**, 108-114.
- 28. J. R. Potts, D. R. Dreyer, C. W. Bielawski and R. S. Ruoff, Graphene-based polymer nanocomposites, *Polymer*, 2011, 52, 5-25.
- 29. K. Liu, L. Chen, Y. Chen, J. Wu, W. Zhang, F. Chen and Q. Fu, Preparation of polyester/reduced graphene oxide composites via in situ melt polycondensation and simultaneous thermo-reduction of graphene oxide, Journal of Materials Chemistry, 2011, **21**, 8612-8617.
- 30. T.-X. Jin, C. Liu, M. Zhou, S.-g. Chai, F. Chen and Q. Fu, Crystallization, mechanical performance and hydrolytic degradation of poly(butylene succinate)/graphene oxide nanocomposites obtained via in situ polymerization, *Composites Part A: Applied Science* and Manufacturing, 2015, 68, 193-201.
- 31. F.-Y. Yuan, H.-B. Zhang, X. Li, H.-L. Ma, X.-Z. Li and Z.-Z. Yu, In situ chemical reduction and functionalization of graphene oxide for electrically conductive phenol formaldehyde composites, *Carbon*, 2014, 68, 653-661.
- 32. P. Ding, S. Su, N. Song, S. Tang, Y. Liu and L. Shi, Highly thermal conductive composites with polyamide-6 covalently-grafted graphene by an in situ polymerization and thermal reduction process, *Carbon*, 2014, **66**, 576-584.
- 33. J. Fan, R. Huang, S. Ye, T. Li and J. Feng, The probable influence of in situ thermal reduction of graphene oxides on the crystallization behavior of isotactic polypropylenePolymer, 2014, 55, 4341-4347.

Published on 30 March 2015. Downloaded by UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA on 05/04/2015 09:32:20.

Page 21 of 23 RSC Advances

- 34. M. Fang, K. Wang, H. Lu, Y. Yang and S. Nutt, Single-layer graphene nanosheets with controlled grafting of polymer chains, Journal of Materials Chemistry, 2010, 20, 1982-1992.
- 35. X. Qian, L. Song, B. Yu, W. Yang, B. Wang, Y. Hu and R. K. Yuen, One-pot surface functionalization and reduction of graphene oxide with long-chain molecules: Preparation and its enhancement on the thermal and mechanical properties of polyurea *Engineering Journal, 2014, 236, 233-241.*
- 36. M. Traina and A. Pegoretti, In situ reduction of graphene oxide dispersed in a polymer matrix, Journal of Nanoparticle Research, 2012, 14, 1-6.
- 37. H. Tang, G. J. Ehlert, Y. Lin and H. A. Sodano, Highly efficient synthesis of graphene **nanocomposites**, *Nano letters*, 2011, **12**, 84-90.
- 38. L. He and S. C. Tjong, A graphene oxide–polyvinylidene fluoride mixture as a precursor for fabricating thermally reduced graphene oxide–polyvinylidene fluoride compositesRSC Advances, 2013, 3, 22981-22987.
- 39. Y. Ding-Xiang, P. Huan, X. Ling, B. Yu, R. Peng-Gang, L. Jun and L. Zhong-Ming, Electromagnetic interference shielding of segregated polymer composite with an ultralow loading of in situ thermally reduced graphene oxide, *Nanotechnology*, 2014, 25, 145705.
- 40. D. X. Yan, H. Pang, B. Li, R. Vajtai, L. Xu, P. G. Ren, J. H. Wang and Z. M. Li, Structured Reduced Graphene Oxide/Polymer Composites for Ultra‐Efficient Electromagnetic Interference Shielding, Advanced Functional Materials, 2014.
- 41. Y. Shen, T. Jing, W. Ren, J. Zhang, Z.-G. Jiang, Z.-Z. Yu and A. Dasari, Chemical and thermal reduction of graphene oxide and its electrically conductive polylactic acid nanocomposites, Composites Science and Technology, 2012, 72, 1430-1435.
- 42. Y. Zhu, M. D. Stoller, W. Cai, A. Velamakanni, R. D. Piner, D. Chen and R. S. Ruoff, Exfoliation of graphite oxide in propylene carbonate and thermal reduction of the resulting graphene oxide platelets, Acs Nano, 2010, 4, 1227-1233.
- 43. W. Li, X.-Z. Tang, H.-B. Zhang, Z.-G. Jiang, Z.-Z. Yu, X.-S. Du and Y.-W. Mai, Simultaneous surface functionalization and reduction of graphene oxide with octadecylamine for electrically conductive polystyrene composites, *Carbon*, 2011, 49, 4724-4730.
- 44. A. J. Glover, M. Cai, K. R. Overdeep, D. E. Kranbuehl and H. C. Schniepp, In situ reduction of graphene oxide in polymers, Macromolecules, 2011, 44, 9821-9829.
- 45. S. Ye and J. Feng, A new insight into the in situ thermal reduction of graphene oxide dispersed in a polymer matrix, *Polymer Chemistry*, 2013, 4, 1765-1768.
- 46. J. P. Rourke, P. A. Pandey, J. J. Moore, M. Bates, I. A. Kinloch, R. J. Young and N. R. Wilson, The Real Graphene Oxide Revealed: Stripping the Oxidative Debris from the Graphene-like Sheets, *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 2011, 50, 3173-3177.
- 47. S. Ye, Y. Cao, J. Feng and P. Wu, Temperature-dependent compatibilizing effect of graphene oxide as a compatibilizer for immiscible polymer blends **!* , 2013, **3**, 7987-7995.
- 48. Y. Tan, L. Fang, J. Xiao, Y. Song and Q. Zheng, Grafting of copolymers onto graphene by miniemulsion polymerization for conductive polymer composites: improved electrical conductivity and compatibility induced by interfacial distribution of graphene Chemistry, 2013, 4, 2939-2944.
- 49. F. You, D. Wang, J. Cao, X. Li, Z. M. Dang and G. H. Hu, In situ thermal reduction of graphene oxide in a styrene–ethylene/butylene–styrene triblock copolymer via melt blending, *Polymer International*, 2014, 63, 93-99.
- 50. M. Li, C. Gao, H. Hu and Z. Zhao, Electrical conductivity of thermally reduced graphene oxide/polymer composites with a segregated structure, *Carbon*, 2013, 65, 371-373.
- 51. V. Eswaraiah, K. Balasubramaniam and S. Ramaprabhu, One-pot synthesis of conducting graphene-polymer composites and their strain sensing application, *Nanoscale*, 2012, 4, 1258-1262.
- 52. D. Zheng, G. Tang, H.-B. Zhang, Z.-Z. Yu, F. Yavari, N. Koratkar, S.-H. Lim and M.-W. Lee, In situ thermal reduction of graphene oxide for high electrical conductivity and low percolation threshold in polyamide 6 nanocomposites, Composites Science and Technology, 2012, 72, 284-289.

Published on 30 March 2015. Downloaded by UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA on 05/04/2015 09:32:20.

Graphical Abstract

In situ reduction of graphene oxide during preparation of conducting

polymeric nanocomposites

