RSC Advances

Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: V. Dhanala, S. K. Maity and D. Shee, RSC Adv., 2013, DOI: 10.1039/C3RA44705G.

This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the RSC Publishing peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, which is prior to technical editing, formatting and proof reading. This free service from RSC Publishing allows authors to make their results available to the community, in citable form, before publication of the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as this is available.

To cite this manuscript please use its permanent Digital Object Identifier (DOI®), which is identical for all formats of publication.

More information about Accepted Manuscripts can be found in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics contained in the manuscript submitted by the author(s) which may alter content, and that the standard **Terms & Conditions** and the **ethical guidelines** that apply to the journal are still applicable. In no event shall the RSC be held responsible for any errors or omissions in these Accepted Manuscript manuscripts or any consequences arising from the use of any information contained in them.

RSCPublishing

www.rsc.org/advances

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

PAPER

Steam Reforming of Isobutanol for Production of Synthesis Gas over Ni/γ**Al2O3 Catalysts**

Vimala Dhanala, Sunil K. Maity*, and Debaprasad Shee*-*

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXXX 20XX ⁵**DOI: 10.1039/b000000x**

The bio-isobutanol has been received widespread attention as bio-fuel, source of chemicals, and synthesis gas in an integrated biorefinery approach. The production of synthesis gas by steam reforming (SR) of isobutanol was investigated in a down-flow stainless steel fixed-bed reactor (FBR) over Ni/ γ -Al₂O₃ catalysts in the temperature range of 723-923 K. The NiO/ γ -Al₂O₃ catalysts were prepared by wet

- ¹⁰impregnation method and reduced in the FBR prior to the reaction. The surface area, metal dispersion, crystalline phase, and reducibility of the prepared catalysts were determined using BET, chemisorption, XRD, and TPR respectively. From the TPR study, the maximum hydrogen consumption was observed in the temperature range of 748-823 K for all the catalysts. The presence of nickel species was confirmed by characterizing the catalyst using powder XRD technique. The time-on-stream (TOS) study showed that
- ¹⁵the catalyst remained fairly stable for more than 10 hrs of TOS. The conversion of carbon to gaseous products (CCGP) was increased with increase in nickel loading on γ -Al₂O₃ and temperatures and decrease in weight hourly space velocity (WHSV). The hydrogen yield was increased with increase in nickel loading on γ -Al₂O₃, WHSV, steam-to-carbon mole ratio (SCMR), and temperature. The selectivity to methane was lowered at higher reaction temperatures and SCMR. The selectivity to CO was decreased

²⁰with increase in SCMR and decrease in temperature. The work was further extended to thermodynamic equilibrium analysis of SR of isobutanol under the experimental conditions using Aspen Plus and equilibrium results were then compared with experimental results. Reasonably good agreements were observed between trends of equilibrium and experimental results.

1 Introduction

Published on 23 September 2013. Downloaded by Carleton University on 23/09/2013 20:01:47.

Published on 23 September 2013. Downloaded by Carleton University on 23/09/2013 20:01:47.

- ²⁵The human civilization is deeply reliant on fossil fuels (petroleum, coal, and natural gas) to meet societal needs of energy and chemicals. The fossil fuels resources are however diminishing continuously with increase in exploration to fulfil the growing energy and chemicals requirements due to increase in ³⁰world population and enhancements of standard of living. The
- increased usages of fossil fuels also have vast impact on earth environment because of emissions of greenhouse gases $(CO₂)$ and CH⁴) which are responsible for global warming. Therefore, there is a strong requirement of finding alternative sustainable sources

³⁵to meet rising energy and chemical demands of the globe preserving earth environment.

 The biodiesel, bioethanol, and bio*-*butanol manufactured from biomass have therefore been recognized as promising bio fuels and have enormous potential to reduce dependency on fossil

 40 fuels. The bio-*n*-butanol has been received widespread attention as bio-fuel, source of chemicals, and synthesis gas in an integrated biorefinery approach because of its superior fuel qualities over biodiesel and bio-ethanol.¹⁻⁵ The isobutanol having lesser toxicity and higher octane number compared to *n*-butanol 45 and same essential fuel potentials as *n*-butanol is deliberated as one of the promising bio-fuels of the future.⁵⁻⁶ Bearing in mind the enormous prominence of bio-isobutanol, the steam reforming (SR) of isobutanol derived from biomass was commenced for production of synthesis gas.

⁵⁰The catalytic SR of oxygenated hydrocarbons derived from biomass is a propitious technology to produce synthesis gas for applications in chemical industries, for example, in Fischer Tropsch synthesis of fuels and chemicals.⁷ The synthesis gas manufactured by SR is generally subjected to water gas shift ⁵⁵reactions (WGSR) to produce high purity hydrogen for applications in fuel cell to generate electricity, transportation fuels, and raw materials in chemical industries.⁸ Considering the importance of synthesis gas, the SR of various oxygenated hydrocarbons derived from biomass such as ethanol,⁹⁻¹³ 60 methanol,¹⁴⁻¹⁶ acetic acid,¹⁷⁻¹⁹ ethylene glycol,²⁰ dimethyl ether,²¹ acetol,²² m-cresol,²³ acetone, ethyl acetate, m-xylene, glucose,²⁴ glycerol,²⁵⁻²⁶ fatty acids,²⁷ and vegetable oils²⁸⁻²⁹ were investigated extensively in the past using numerous types of supported metal catalysts in the wide range of temperatures (673-⁶⁵1173K).

 A substantial degree of studies have also been devoted on thermodynamic equilibrium analysis of SR ,³⁰ dry reforming,³¹

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [2013] **Frankling Community (Propriet Community Trankling Comm**

PAPER

www.rsc.org/xxxxxx

Published on 23 September 2013. Downloaded by Carleton University on 23/09/2013 20:01:47.

Published on 23 September 2013. Downloaded by Carleton University on 23/09/2013 20:01:47.

- and sorption enhanced SR ³²⁻³³ and partial oxidation³⁴ of butanol to predict equilibrium products composition, delineate the effects 5 of various process parameters, and recognize thermodynamically favorable and optimum operating conditions of the process. However, inadequate numbers of experimental investigations are available in open literatures on SR of butanols. Bimbela et al. first reported SR of saturated aqueous solution of *n*-butanol in the 10 temperature range of 823-1023 K in a quartz tubular reactor using $Ni/Al₂O₃$ catalysts prepared by co-precipitation method.³⁵ Cai et
- al. recently examined the SR of *n*-butanol in the temperature range of 773-873 K using $TiO₂$, CeO₂, ZnO supported Co based catalysts.³⁶ The Co/ZnO catalyst was reported to be most suitable
- 15 for the SR of *n*-butanol than $Co/CeO₂$ or $Co/TiO₂$. The SR study was then extended to a mixture of *n*-butanol, acetone, and ethanol (6:3:1 mass ratio) corresponding to the product composition of ABE fermentation process using monometallic Co/ZnO and Ir/ZnO and bimetallic Co-Ir/ZnO catalysts. The Co-Ir/ZnO ²⁰catalysts were found to be most promising catalyst. Cai et al.
- further extended the work to oxidative SR of bio- n -butanol mixture of ABE process using bimetallic Co–Ir/ZnO catalysts.³⁷ Chakrabarti et al. studied catalytic partial oxidation of isobutanol in absence and presence of steam in a staged millisecond contact
- 25 time reactor in presence of $1wt%Rh-1wt%Ce/\alpha$ -alumina catalysts.³⁸ However, to the best of our knowledge, there is no experimental investigation of SR of isobutanol available in

literatures using nickel supported on γ -Al₂O₃ catalysts. The inexpensive $Ni/Al₂O₃$ catalysts are commercially used for SR of ³⁰naphtha and hydrocarbons because of high catalytic activity towards breaking of CC bonds and hence desirable for applications to SR of oxygenated hydrocarbons as well.³⁹ The present work was therefore commenced to understand the performance of Ni/γ -Al₂O₃ catalysts for SR of isobutanol.

³⁵**2 Experimental**

2.1 Chemicals

The nickel nitrate hexahydrate $(Ni(NO₃)₂.6H₂O)$ (\geq 97%) and isobutanol (SG, ≥99%) were purchased from Merck India Limited, Mumbai. The γ -Al₂O₃ (1/8" pellets, high surface area, ⁴⁰and bimodal) was obtained from Alfa Aesar. All chemicals were used without further purification.

2.2 Catalyst preparation and characterization

The nickel supported on γ -Al₂O₃ catalysts were prepared by wet impregnation method using nickel nitrate hexahydrate as metal 45 precursor. The NiO supported on γ -Al₂O₃ catalysts obtained from wet impregnation method were designated as fresh catalysts $(NiO/\gamma - Al_2O_3)$ throughout the manuscript. The fresh catalysts were then reduced by hydrogen to obtain Ni/γ - Al_2O_3 that was designated as reduced catalysts hereafter. The different nickel

⁵⁰ This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [2013] $[R$

PAPER

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxxx

Table 1 Mole balance table.^a

* 1, 2, and isobutanols. CBE= carbon balance error.

Published on 23 September 2013. Downloaded by Carleton University on 23/09/2013 20:01:47.

Published on 23 September 2013. Downloaded by Carleton University on 23/09/2013 20:01:47.

s loaded γ-Al₂O₃ catalysts, 0, 10, 15, 20, and 25 wt.% nickel on γ-Al2O³ were abbreviated as Al, 10NiAl, 15NiAl, 20NiAl, and 25NiAl respectively.

 The surface area and pore volume of both fresh and reduced catalysts were determined using Micromeritics ASAP 2020 ¹⁰phsysorption analyzer. The reducibility and reduction temperature of fresh catalysts were studied using Micromeritics AutoChem II 2920 chemisorption analyzer. The active metal dispersion and metal surface area of the reduced catalysts were determined by hydrogen pulse chemisorption technique using ¹⁵Micromeritics AutoChem II 2920 chemisorption analyzer.

Crystalinities present in the catalysts and crystals sizes were determined by powder XRD technique by Phillips X-pert diffractometer using CuK α radiation (λ =1.541Å), 30KV, at a 2 θ interval of $10-80^\circ$ and with a scanning speed of $0.01^\circ/\text{min}$.

²⁰**2.3 Experimental setup and procedure**

The SR of isobutanol was carried out in a down-flow stainless steel fixed-bed reactor (FBR) in the temperature range of 773-923 K under atmospheric pressure using nitrogen as carrier gas. The schematic of the experimental set up is shown in Fig. 1. The ²⁵measured amount of catalysts powders diluted with suitable amount of quartz beads were first loaded into the stainless steel reactor ($L = 40$ cm, OD= $1/2$ ") supported by two layers of quartz wool on either side of catalyst bed. The reactor was kept inside a tubular furnace and a K-type thermocouple was placed just above

- ³⁰the catalyst bed. The temperature of the catalyst bed was controlled within ± 1 K by a PID temperature controller. The catalysts were first reduced at 923 K by flowing pure hydrogen through a mass flow controller with a flow rate of 20 ml/min for about 3 hrs to ensure complete reduction of nickel oxide. The
- ³⁵reactor was then cooled down to steady state desired reaction temperature under flow of nitrogen gas. The isobutanol and water were then pumped at a desired flow rate using two different metering pumps and vaporized in a pre-mixer maintained at a temperature of 473 K prior to entering into the reactor. The
- ⁴⁰nitrogen, introduced at a specified flow rate using another mass flow controller, served as carrier gas and internal standard for the reaction. The product gas stream was passed through a condenser maintained at 265-273 K to liquefy condensable products present in gas mixture. The cumulative flow rates of non-condensable gas

45 mixtures were recorded with time-on-stream (TOS) using a wet gas meter. Total materials balance was checked for all experimental runs and errors were obtained within $\pm 5\%$.

 The gas samples were analyzed by an online gas chromatography (GC) (Shimadzu GC 2014) equipped with ⁵⁰thermal conductivity detector (TCD) using carboseive packed column using argon as carrier gas and calibrated with respect to nitrogen as internal standard. The products of liquid samples were identified by a GC equipped with mass spectrometer (MS) detector and quantified by GC equipped with flame ionization 55 detector (FID) using ZB wax column (30 m×0.25mm×0.25 μ m) using nitrogen as carrier gas.

Steam reforming reaction

 $C_4H_{10}O + 3H_2O \leftrightarrow 4CO + 8H_2 \Delta H_{298K}^o = 558.32 \text{ kJ/mol}$ (i)

⁶⁰Water gas shift reaction

 $CO + H_2O \leftrightarrow CO_2 + H_2 \Delta H_{298K}^o = -41.1 \text{ kJ/mol}$ (ii)

Methanation reaction

$$
CO + 3H_2 \leftrightarrow CH_4 + H_2O \quad \Delta H_{298K}^o = -205.21 \text{ kJ/mol}
$$
 (iii)

 $CO_2 + 4H_2 \leftrightarrow CH_4 + 2H_2O \Delta H_{298K}^o = -165 \text{ kJ/mol}$ (iv) ⁶⁵Methane decomposition reaction

$$
CH_4 \leftrightarrow C + 2H_2 \quad \Delta H^o_{298K} = 74.87 \text{ kJ/mol}
$$
 (v)

CO reduction reaction

$$
CO + H_2 \leftrightarrow C + H_2O \quad \Delta H_{298K}^0 = -131.29 \text{ kJ/mol} \tag{vi}
$$

Boudouard reaction

⁷⁰ 2CO ↔ C + CO₂ $\Delta H_{298K}^o = 172.41 \text{ kJ/mol}$ (vii)

Hydrocarbon dissociation reaction

$$
C_nH_{2n+2} \rightarrow nC + (n+1)H_2 \Delta H_{298K}^0 = -131.29 \text{ kJ/mol}
$$
 (viii)

Overall reaction

$$
C_4H_{10}O + 7H_2O \leftrightarrow 4CO_2 + 12H_2 \Delta H_{298K}^o = 394 \text{ kJ/mol (ix)}
$$

⁷⁵**Scheme 1** Chemical reactions involved in steam reforming of isobutanol.

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [2013] **Frankling Community (Propriet Community Trankling Comm**

PAPER

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxxx

 S_{BET} = surface area, m²/g; P_v = pore volume, cm³/g; MD = metal dispersion, %; S_M =metallic surface area, m²/g metal; T_{max} = maximum reduction temperature.

45

3 Chemical Reactions

Published on 23 September 2013. Downloaded by Carleton University on 23/09/2013 20:01:47.

Published on 23 September 2013. Downloaded by Carleton University on 23/09/2013 20:01:47.

⁵The SR of isobutanol is quite complicated in nature involving enormous number of conceivable chemical reactions. Some of the important chemical reactions involved in SR of isobutanol are presented in Scheme 1. The heat of reactions of eqns (ii)-(viii) of Scheme 1 were obtained from the literatures. $31,40$ The heat of 10 reaction of eqn (i) and eqn (ix) were calculated using ASPEN plus. The isobutanol reacts with water forming a mixture of hydrogen and carbon monoxide (eqn (i) of Scheme 1). The SR reaction is endothermic in nature absorbing 558.32 kJ/mol of heat. The carbon monoxide then undergoes equilibrium limited ¹⁵WGSR with water forming carbon dioxide and hydrogen (eqn (ii) of Scheme 1). The WGSR is slightly exothermic $(\Delta H_{298K}^0 = -41.1$ kJ/mol). The overall SR reaction of isobutanol is endothermic $(\Delta H_{298K}^0 = 394 \text{ kJ/mol})$ (eqn (ix) of Scheme 1). The carbon monoxide and carbon dioxide formed in SR of isobutanol ²⁰undergo exothermic reaction with hydrogen forming methane and water (eqns (iii)-(iv) of Scheme 1). These reactions are responsible for reduction of hydrogen yield and hence undesirable for SR. The catalyst deactivation due to coke formation is one of the major challenges especially for SR of high ²⁵molecular weight oxygenated compounds (eqn (viii) of Scheme

- 1). For nickel catalysts, the formations of five different types of carbons (adsorbed atomic carbon, amorphous carbon, vermicular carbon, bulk nickel carbide, and crystalline graphitic carbon) were reported for SR.⁴¹ The formation carbon occurs by cracking
- 30 of the C-C bonds of hydrocarbons. Some of the other probable reactions responsible for coke formation are shown by eqns (v) (vii) of Scheme 1.

4 Results and Discussion

The experiments of SR isobutanol were carried out in a FBR 35 using Ni/γ - Al_2O_3 catalysts under atmospheric pressure in the wide range of temperatures $(773-923 \text{ K})$, steam-to-carbon mole ratios (SCMR) (1-3), and weight hourly space velocities (WHSV) $(9.35 -37.43 \text{ h}^{-1})$. The H₂, CO, CO₂, and CH₄ were identified as the non-condensable gaseous products. The two important

⁴⁰process variables of SR, SCMR, and WHSV used throughout the manuscript are defined as follows.

 The stoichiometric SCMR used in the definition of eqn (2) was obtained from eqn (ix) of Scheme 1. The definition of SCMR of ⁵⁰eqn (1) is useful to represent the extent of surplus (or undersupplied) amount of steam used to that of theoretical requirements. The progress of the SR represented by conversion of carbon to gaseous products (CCGP), hydrogen yield, selectivity to CO , $CO₂$, and $CH₄$ are defined as follows.

$$
\int_{55}^{55} \binom{\text{conversion of carbon to}}{\text{gaseous products}} (CGP),\% =
$$
\n
$$
100 \times \frac{\binom{\text{rate of moles of carbon present}}{\text{rate of moles of carbon fed}}}{\binom{\text{rate of moles of carbon fed}}{\text{4} \times (\text{rate of moles of Co,CO}_2,\text{and methane formed})}} =
$$
\n
$$
100 \times \frac{\text{(rate of moles of Co,CO}_2,\text{and methane formed)}}{4 \times (\text{rate of moles of isobutanol fed})}
$$
\n(3)

Hydrogen yield, % 100 M 3 hijk lm nlokp lm qrshltku mlhnksB 3 hijk lm nlokp lm vplwxjiulo mks B M'mhiyjvluio zz{|(3 hijk lm nlokp lm qrshltku mlhnksB 3 hijk lm nlokp lm vplwxjiulo hkiyjksB pjlvyqvlnkjhvy 100 M 3 4567 89 :8;7< 89 Z\>48Y7# 984:7>^B M34567 89 :8;7< 89 ?<8@A65#8; 97>B M'945[6?8#5; eeÄ((4)

60

$$
\begin{array}{l}\n\text{Selectivity of CO, CO}_2, \text{ or CH}_4, \% = \\
100 \times \frac{\text{(rate of moles of CO, CO}_2, \text{ or CH}_4 \text{ formed})}{\text{(rate of moles of CO}_2 + \text{CO} + \text{CH}_4 \text{ formed)}}\n\end{array} \tag{5}
$$

 The stoichiometric hydrogen yield used in the definition of eqn (4) was obtained from eqn (ix) of Scheme 1. The definition of ⁶⁵hydrogen yield used in the present article is appropriate to judge how far-off the actual hydrogen yield from theoretical maximum hydrogen yield. The analysis of liquid samples by GC-FID and

SC Advances], [2013], **[vol]**, 00–00 | **4**

Published on 23 September 2013. Downloaded by Carleton University on 23/09/2013 20:01:47.

Published on 23 September 2013. Downloaded by Carleton University on 23/09/2013 20:01:47.

Intensity, a.u

GC-MS revealed the formation of large number of chemical compounds including acetaldehyde, propionaldehyde, 2-propenal, butyraldehyde, 2-butanone, and butanols (1, 2, and iso-butanols) especially at low CCGP. A representative mole balance table ⁵displaying the flow rates of feed, gaseous, and liquid products is shown in Table 1. The catalytic performances for the remaining studies were established based on analysis of gaseous products only.

¹⁰**Fig. 2** TPR profiles of fresh catalysts.

4.1 Catalyst characterization

The surface area and pore volume of both fresh and reduced catalysts and metal dispersion and metallic surface area of reduced catalysts are shown in Table 2. The surface area and pore

- 15 volume of both fresh and reduced catalysts were decreased with increasing nickel loading. With increase in nickel loading, the surfaces of the γ -Al₂O₃ support is covered by increased numbers of nickel crystallites that causes increased coverage of surface of pores leading to decrease in surface area and pore volume. The
- ²⁰decrease in surface area may also be due to the difference in atomic weight of nickel and aluminum and blockage of the pores by deposition of nickel during the wet impregnation method. The highest metal dispersion of 1.22% was observed for 10NiAl catalyst.

²⁵TPR profiles of the fresh catalysts are shown in Fig. 2. The temperature corresponding to the maximum hydrogen consumption (or T_{max}) for the catalysts was observed in the range of 748-823 K. For all the catalysts, the lower reduction temperature peak corresponds to the reduction of bulk NiO ³⁰reducible species. For higher nickel loading, a small peak appeared at higher temperature (908 K) may be due to the formation of dispersed $NiAl₂O₄$ species which are not detectable by $XRD⁴²$ In all experimental runs, the supported metal oxide catalysts were reduced in FBR at 923 K prior to SR reaction to 35 ensure complete reduction.

 The powder XRD results of the fresh and reduced catalysts are shown in Fig. 3. The XRD results of support, γ -Al₂O₃, are also

shown in the same figure. As observed from the figure, the peaks corresponding to 20 of 45.78 and 66.55⁰ were due to Al_2O_3 ⁴⁰(PDF#821399). The peaks corresponding to 2θ of 37.34, 43.36, and $63.03⁰$ are due to the presence of nickel oxide species (Fig. 3A) (PDF#731523). The peaks corresponding to 2θ of 44.43, 51.78, and $76.33⁰$ are due to the presence of nickel species (Fig. 3B) (PDF#870712). The peak corresponding to 2 θ of 37.21⁰ 45 observed for reduced catalyst at higher nickel loadings (\geq 20%Ni) is due to the bulk NiO species. It was also observed that the sharpness of the nickel peaks was enhanced with increase in nickel loadings. From these results it may be concluded that the nickel remained in dispersed form on high surface area γ-Al₂O₃ at

⁵⁰low nickel loading and nickel crystals started forming with increase in nickel loading.

Fig. 3 Powder XRD patterns of (A) fresh and (B) reduced catalysts.

Table 3 Effects of nickel loading on γ -Al₂O₃ on CCGP, H₂ yield, and selectivity to CO , $CO₂$, and $CH₄$.^a

Catalysts	CCGP, %	H_2 yield, %	Selectivity, %		
			CO	CO ₂	CH ₄
Αl	0.49	31.67	Ω	97.63	2.37
10N _i A1	31.20	64.49	987	64.2	25.93
20NiA1	84.75	65.94	4 54	58.64	36.82
25 NiAl	100.00	84.49	5.86	674	26.74

.

 $^{\circ}$ Conditions-T=773 K, SCMR=2.49, WHSV=28.25 h⁻¹

 The fresh and reduced catalysts with different nickel loading ⁵showed the characteristic nickel oxide/nickel peaks corresponding to $(1\ 1\ 1)$, $(2\ 0\ 0)$, and $(2\ 2\ 0)$ crystal planes. The average crystallite size of nickel and nickel oxide of reduced and fresh catalysts with different nickel loadings respectively were determined using the Scherrer equation from the line widths of 10 the XRD peaks corresponding to $(1 1 1)$, $(2 0 0)$ and $(2 2 0)$ crystal planes as shown in Table 2. The dimensions of nickel and NiO crystallites were in the range 8.3–15.7 nm.

15 Fig. 4 Time-on-stream behavior of the Ni/γ-Al₂O₃ catalyst. Conditions: catalyst =15NiAl, T=823 K, SCMR=1.96, WHSV=28.01 h⁻¹.

4.2 Time-on-stream behaviour of 15NiAl

The stability of 15NiAl catalyst for SR of isobutanol was studied for about 10 hrs of TOS at 823 K with SCMR of 1.96 and WHSV

- 20 of 28.01 h⁻¹. The CCGP, hydrogen yield, selectivity to CO, CO₂, and CH⁴ were stabilized within 150 minutes of TOS as shown in Fig. 4. Beyond 150 minutes, the CCGP and compositions of gaseous products were remained practically constant up to more than 10 hrs of TOS. From this result, it may be concluded that $_{25}$ Ni/γ-Al₂O₃ catalyst is quite stable for SR of isobutanol. For all
- subsequent experiments, the steady state experimental data were collected after 150 minutes of TOS.

4.3 Effects of weight hourly space velocity

The effects of WHSV were studied over 15NiAl catalyst at 873 K ³⁰with SCMR of 1.47 as shown in Fig. 5. The CCGP was decreased with increase in WHSV. This is because of decrease of residence time of the reactants and products in the reactor. The hydrogen yield was increased slightly with increase in WHSV. With an

initial increase of WHSV, the selectivity to CO and $CH₄$ were 35 decreased and that of $CO₂$ was increased slightly. However, the selectivity to CO , $CO₂$, and $CH₄$ practically remains unaffected beyond WHSV of ~15 h⁻¹. The identical trends of results were also reported for SR of *n*-butanol³⁵ and oxidative SR of ethanol.⁴³ Therefore, the remaining studies were performed with WHSV ⁴⁰ more than 15 h⁻¹ for better comparison of selectivity to CO, CO₂, and CH⁴ .

Fig. 5 Effects of WHSV on CCGP, H₂ yield, and selectivity to CO, CO₂, and 45 CH₄. Conditions: catalyst = 15NiAl, T= 873 K and SCMR= 1.46.

4.4 Effects of nickel loading on γ **-Al₂O₃**

The three different nickel loaded γ -Al₂O₃ catalysts, 10NiAl, 20NiAl, and 25NiAl were examined for SR of isobutanol at 773 K with SCMR of 2.49 and WHSV of 28.25 h⁻¹. To delineate the ⁵⁰role of the support, SR of isobutanol, the study was also conducted with pure γ -Al₂O₃ under identical experimental conditions. A very low CCGP was observed with pure γ -Al₂O₃ suggesting that pure γ -Al₂O₃ is inactive for SR of isobutanol under the experimental condition. The effects of nickel loading 55 on γ-Al₂O₃ on CCGP, H₂ yield, and selectivity to CO, CO₂, and CH4 are shown in Table 3. As observed from the table, the CCGP increases with increase of nickel loading on γ -Al₂O₃. The increase of catalytic activity with increase of nickel loading on γ $Al₂O₃$ is due to increase in number of active sites in the catalyst. ⁶⁰The hydrogen yield was also increased with increase of nickel loading on γ -Al₂O₃. The maximum hydrogen yield of about 84% was observed with 25NiAl. The selectivity to CO , $CO₂$, and $CH₄$ remained almost unaffected with increase of nickel loading on γ Al_2O_3 .

65 4.5 Effects of steam-to-carbon mole ratio

The effects of SCMR on CCGP, hydrogen yield, and selectivity to CO, CO_2 , and CH_4 were studied in the SCMR range of 1.1-3.2 at 873 K over 15NiAl catalysts with WHSV of 18.08 h⁻¹ as shown in Fig. 6. About 75% of CCGP was observed under experimental 70 conditions. The hydrogen yield was increased with increase in SCMR as observed from the figure. The maximum hydrogen yield of about 81% was observed with SCMR of 3.2. With increase in SCMR, the increase in selectivity to $CO₂$ and decrease

in selectivity to CO and CH⁴ were observed. With increase in SCMR, the WGSR (eqn (ii) of Scheme 1), butanol (eqn (i) of Scheme 1) and methane (reverse reaction of eqns $(iii)-(iv)$ of Scheme 1) SR reactions increases leading to increase in hydrogen s yield and selectivity to $CO₂$ and decrease in selectivity to CO and CH⁴ . Hu and Lu also reported similar trends of results for SR of acetic acid over $Ni/Al₂O₃$ catalyst.⁴⁴

Fig. 6 Effects of steam-to-carbon mole ratio on CCGP, H₂ yield, and 10 selectivity to CO, CO₂, and CH₄. Conditions: catalyst =15NiAl, T=873 K, $WHSV = 18.08 h⁻¹$.

 The study was further extended to thermodynamic equilibrium analysis of SR of isobutanol using R-Gibbs reactor with UNIF-LBY as property method using Aspen Plus under the identical 15 experimental conditions. The detailed approach of thermodynamic equilibrium analysis of SR was presented in our earlier publications.⁴⁵⁻⁴⁶ The results of thermodynamic equilibrium analysis of SR of isobutanol were then compared with experimental data as shown in Fig. 6. The experimental ²⁰trends of results displayed good agreement with that of thermodynamic equilibrium analysis results. However, the hydrogen yield and selectivity to CO was somewhat lower than that of equilibrium values. The selectivity to $CH₄$ was observed to be higher than that of equilibrium selectivity. From these results ²⁵it may be concluded that reactions involved in SR of isobutanol

(especially WGSR and methane SR reaction) remained slightly away from the equilibrium under the experimental conditions studied.

4.6 Effects of temperature

- ³⁰The effects of temperature on CCGP, hydrogen yield, and selectivity to CO , $CO₂$, and $CH₄$ were studied in the temperature range of 773-923 K over 15NiAl catalyst with SCMR of 1.47 and WHSV of 17.0 h^{-1} as shown in Fig. 7. The CCGP was increased with increase in temperature. The endothermic SR reactions (of
- ³⁵isobutanol and intermediates) are favorable at higher temperatures that result increase of CCGP with temperature. The hydrogen yield was decreased marginally with increase in temperatures and the maximum hydrogen yield of ∼65% was observed at 923 K. The increase of hydrogen yield is due to
- ⁴⁰increase in CCGP and favorable endothermic SR of methane $(eqns (iii)-(iv)$ of Scheme1) at higher temperatures. The increase of selectivity to CO and decrease of selectivity to $CO₂$ was observed with increasing temperature. A slight decreasing trend

of selectivity to methane with temperatures was also witnessed. ⁴⁵The trends of results can be explained by the fact that endothermic SR of isobutanol (eqn (i) of Scheme 1), reverse methanation reaction (eqn (iii)-(iv) of Scheme 1), and reverse WGSR (eqn (ii) of Scheme 1) are favored at higher temperatures. The experimental results of the present study were found to be 50 comparable with SR of oxygenated compounds like acetic acid in presence of $Ni/Al₂O₃$.⁴⁴ Furthermore, the thermodynamic equilibrium analysis of SR of isobutanol was performed at different temperatures under the experimental conditions and the results were then compared with experimental data as shown in ⁵⁵Fig. 7. As observed from the figure, the trends of experimental results are in good agreement with equilibrium results. However, the reactions involved in SR of isobutanol are away from the equilibrium to some extent causing somewhat lower hydrogen yield and selectivity to CO and CO_2 and higher selectivity to CH_4 ⁶⁰compared to equilibrium.

Fig. 7 Effects of temperatures on CCGP, H₂ yield, and selectivity to CO, $CO₂$, and CH₄. Conditions: catalyst =15NiAl, SCMR=1.46, WHSV=18.72 h⁻¹.

⁶⁵**4.7 Optimum conditions**

The optimum process conditions were determined to achieve complete CCGP and maximum hydrogen yield with very low selectivity to methane.^{45,46} The CCGP depends on reactivity of the catalysts and WHSV. The catalytic activity on the other hand γ ⁰ is a strong function of nickel loading on γ-Al₂O₃ (Table 2). Therefore, the maximum allowable nickel loading on γ -Al₂O₃ (generally 2530 wt.%) should be used as catalyst with appropriate WHSV to achieve complete CCGP. The hydrogen yield and selectivity to methane depends strongly on SCMR and 75 temperature. With increase of SCMR and temperature, the hydrogen yield increases and selectivity to methane decreases (Fig. 6&7). The high SCMR is also desirable to minimize coke formation on the catalyst. From this discussion it may be apparently concluded that maximum permissible SCMR and ⁸⁰temperature should be used to achieve maximum hydrogen yield with low selectivity to methane. However, the operation of SR at high temperature and SCMR will affect the thermal efficiency of the process significantly. Therefore, it may be concluded that optimum process conditions of SCMR= 2.53.0 and temperature $_{85} = \sim 900$ K should be used for SR of isobutanol.⁴⁵

5 Conclusions

The SR of isobutanol was investigated in a down-flow fixed-bed reactor over Ni/γ -Al₂O₃ catalysts. The NiO/ γ -Al₂O₃ catalysts were prepared by wet impregnation method. The catalysts were s characterized by BET, chemisorption, TPR, and powder XRD. The powder XRD revealed the presence of NiO species in the catalysts. The nickel supported on γ -Al₂O₃ catalysts were quite stable and active for SR of isobutanol. The detailed study was performed to comprehend the effect of various process 10 parameters such as nickel loading on y-Al₂O₃, WHSV, SCMR, and temperature on CCGP, hydrogen yield, and selectivity to CO, $CO₂$, and methane. The CCGP was increased with rise in nickel loading on γ -Al₂O₃ and temperatures. The increase of WHSV resulted in decrease of CCGP. The hydrogen yield was increased

15 with increase in nickel loading on γ -Al₂O₃, WHSV, and SCMR. The desired low selectivity to methane was favored at higher reaction temperatures and SCMR. The maximum hydrogen yield of about 80% was observed at 873 K with SCMR of 3.2. The thermodynamic equilibrium analysis of SR of isobutanol was 20 carried out using Aspen plus and the results were then compared with experimental data. The trends of experimental results were

found to be in good agreement with equilibrium results.

Nomenclatures

Notes and references

^a Department of Chemical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Hyderabad, Ordnance Factory Estate, Yeddumailaram-502205, Andhra Pradesh, India.

- 40 Corresponding author (Dr. Sunil K. Maity): Phone: $+91-40-2301-6075$; Fax: +91-40-2301 6003; E-mail: sunil maity@iith.ac.in
	- 1. M. Kumar and K. Gayen, Appl. Energy, 2011, 88(6), 1999-2012.
- $2.$ P. Dürre, Curr. Opin. Biotechnol., 2011, 22, 331-336.
- B.G. Harvey and H.A. Meylemans, J. Chem. $45\,3.$ Technol. Biotechnol., 2011, 86(1), 2-9.
	- $4.$ M. Mascal, Biofuels Bioprod. Biorefin., 2012, 6(4), 483-493.
	- E.M. Green, Curr. Opin. Biotechnol., 2011, 22, 337-343. 5.
- M.R. Connor and J.C. Liao, Curr. Opin. Biotechnol., 2009, 20(3), 6. 307-315.
- 7. J. Xuan, M.K.H. Leung, D.Y.C. Leung and M. Ni, Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev., 2009, 13, 1301-1313.
- M. Balat, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2008, 33, 4013-4029. 8.
- P. Biswas and D. Kunzru, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2007, 32, 969-9. 980. 55
- 10. M. Ni, D.Y.C. Leung and M.K.H. Leung, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2007, 32, 3238-3247.
- 11. V.V. Galvita, G.L. Semin, V.D. Belyaev, V.A. Semikolenov, P. Tsiakaras and V.A. Sobyanin, Appl. Catal. A., 2001, 220, 123-127.
- 60 12. J.Y.Z. Chiou, W. Wang, S. Yang, C. Lai, H. Huang and C. Wang, Catal. Lett., 2013, DOI 10.1007/s10562-013-0975-9.
	- 13. X. Yu, W. Chu, N. Wang and F. Ma, Catal. Lett., 2011, 141, 1228-1236
	- 14. D.R. Palo, Chem. Rev., 2007, 107, 3992-4021.
- 65 15. S. Sá, H. Silva, L. Brandão, J.M. Sousaa and A. Mendes, Appl. Catal. $B., 2010, 99, 43-57.$
	- 16. G. Wu, D. Mao, G. Lu, Y. Cao and K. Fan, Catal Lett., 2009, 130, $177 - 184$
	- 17. J.R. Galdámez, L. García and R. Bilbao, Energy Fuels, 2005, 19, 1133-1142.
	- 18. L. An, C. Dong, Y. Yang, J. Zhang and L. He, Renewable Energy, 2011, 36, 930-935.
- 19. S. Thaicharoensutcharittham, V. Meeyoo, B. Kitiyanan, P. Rangsunvigit and T. Rirksomboon, Catal. Today, 2011, 164, 257-261 75
- 20. N. Wang, N. Perret and A. Foster, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2011, 36, 5932-5940.
- 21. K. Takeishi and H. Suzuki, Appl. Catal. A, 2004, 260, 111-117.
- 22. M.C. Ramos, A.I. Navascués, L. García and R. Bilbao, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2007, 46, 2399-2406
- 23. C. Wu and R. Liu, *Energy Fuels*, 2010, 24, 5139-5147.
- 24. X. Hu and G. Lu, Appl. Catal. B, 2009, 88, 376-385.
- 25. P.D. Vaidya and A.E. Rodrigues, Chem. Eng. Technol., 2009, 32(10), 1463-1469.
- 85 26. S. Kitamura, T. Su-enaga, N. Ikenaga, T. Miyake and T. Suzuki Catal. Lett., 2011, 141, 895-905.
	- 27. C.M. Jeong, G.W. Park, J. Choi, J.W. Kang, S.M. Kim, W. Lee, S. Woo and H.N. Chang, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2011, 36, 7505-7515. 28. M. Marquevich, R. Coll and D. Montané, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.,
- 2000. 39. 2140-2147.
- 29. M. Marquevich, X. Farriol, F. Medina and D. Montané, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2001, 40, 4757-4766.
- 30. G.A. Nahar and S.S. Madhani, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2010, 35, 98-109.
- 95 31. W. Wang, Fuel, 2011, 90, 1681-1688.
	- 32. A.L.D. Silva and I.L. Müller, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2011, 36, 2057-2075.
	- 33. W. Wang and Y. Cao, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2011, 36, 2887-2895.
	- 34. W. Wang and Y. Cao, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2010, 35, 13280-
	- 13289.

100

- 35. F. Bimbela, M. Oliva, J. Ruiz, L. García and J. Arauzo, J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis., 2009, 85, 204-213.
- 36. W. Cai, P.R. Piscina and N. Homs, Bioresour. Technol., 2012, 107, 482-468
- 105 37. W. Cai, N. Homs and P.R. Piscina, Green Chem., 2012, 14, 1035-1043
	- 38. R. Chakrabarti, J.S. Kruger, R.J. Hermann and L.D. Schmidt, RSC Adv., 2012, 2, 2527-2533
	- 39. J.N. Armor, Appl. Catal. A, 1999, 176, 159-176.
- 110 40. J.D. Holladay, J. Hu, D.L. King and Y. Wang, Catal Today, 2009, 139, 244-260.
	- 41. P. Forzatti and L. Lietti, Catal Today, 1999, 52, 165-181.
	- 42. A.J. Akande, R.O. Idem and A.K. Dalai, Appl. Catal. A, 2005, 287, 159-175
- 115 43. P. Biswas and D. Kunzru, Chem. Eng. J., 2008, 136, 41-49.
	- 44. X. Hu and G. Lu, Appl. Catal. B, 2010, 99, 289-297. 45. S.R. Yenumala and S.K. Maity, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2011, 36, 11666-11675
- 46. S.R. Yenumala and S.K. Maity, J. Renewable Sustainable Energy, 2012, 4, 043120. 120

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT

The performance of $Ni//\gamma-Al_2O_3$ catalysts was investigated for steam reforming of isobutanol in a down-flow stainless steel fixed-bed reactor in the temperature range of 723-923 K.

