RSC Advances

PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2019, 9, 1335

Enhanced photoelectrochemical activity of Codoped β -In₂S₃ nanoflakes as photoanodes for water splitting†

Supriya Pulipaka,^a A. K. S. Koushik,^a Melepurath Deepa D^b and Praveen Meduri D^{*a}

This work is primarily focused on indium sulfide (β -In₂S₃) and cobalt (Co)-doped β -In₂S₃ nanoflakes as photoanodes for water oxidation. The incorporation of cobalt introduces new dopant energy levels increasing visible light absorption and leading to improved photo-activity. In addition, cobalt ion centers in β -In₂S₃ act as potential catalytic sites to promote electro-activity. 5 mol% Co-doped β -In₂S₃ nanoflakes when tested for photoelectrochemical water splitting exhibited a photocurrent density of 0.69 mA cm⁻² at 1.23 V, much higher than that of pure β - $\ln_2 S_3$.

Received 24th November 2018 Accepted 28th December 2018

DOI: 10.1039/c8ra09660k

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Introduction

Photoelectrochemical (PEC) water splitting is attracting huge attention since solar energy is directly converted into hydrogen which can be used as fuel. A PEC semiconductor device in an aqueous electrolyte, irradiated with sunlight can directly split water into hydrogen and oxygen. The overall reaction in PEC is controlled by the oxygen evolution reaction (OER) at the anode due to its sluggish kinetics.¹ Fujishima and Honda first reported a PEC device by using titania (TiO₂) as a photoanode in 1972.² However, $TiO₂$ absorbs only 5% of the solar spectrum due to its large band gap of 3.2 eV and is primarily limited to the ultraviolet region. The requirement for visible light absorption drives the development of metal oxides with narrow band gap such as bismuth vanadate $(\mathrm{BiVO}_4),^3$ tungsten oxide $(\mathrm{WO}_3),$ and hematite (α -Fe₂O₃).^{4–6} Along with metal oxides, metal sulfides have garnered attention as promising materials due to their unique properties with good mechanical and thermal stability in electrochemical devices.⁷ Metal chalcogenides have received recent interest in photocatalysis owing to their narrow band gap and low-temperature synthesis.⁸ Among the metal chalcogenides, cadmium sulfide (CdS) with a band gap of 2.4 eV is a highly photoactive material under visible light. However, toxicity and photocorrosion make its use challenging for water oxidation.⁹⁻¹¹ A recent study on multishelled hollow structures with CdS and zinc sulfide (ZnS) have shown enhanced PEC performance.¹² The other chalcogenide which has a favorable band gap of 2.0– 2.3 eV is indium sulfide (β -In₂S₃). It is a n-type semiconductor

which is primarily used for solar cells with visible-light absorption.¹³⁻¹⁵ A variety of 2D β -In₂S₃ morphologies such as nanobelts and nanoflakes have been synthesized using metal ions such as calcium and aluminum to restrict the crystal growth in 2D wherein the nanoflakes have shown the best photoelectrochemical activity.¹⁶ A biomaterial template with amino acids has been used to synthesize high surface area β - In_2S_3 nanoflakes with increased number of surface active sites which are shown to promote the photocatalytic process of alcohol to aldehyde selective oxidation.¹⁷ However, the use of β -In₂S₃ nanoflakes for PEC water splitting to generate H₂ or O_2 has been sparsely reported despite good photocatalytic properties of β -In₂S₃.^{18,19} Previous studies have strived for the improvement of β -In₂S₃ photocatalytic properties by the way of heterojunctions with $TiO₂$, $MoS₂$, CdS and CuS.²⁰⁻²² Alongside, noble metal nanoparticles such as silver have also been used to improve efficiency but at the expense of increased cell costs.²³ The electro and photoactivity of β -In₂S₃ can be improved by doping with metals like zirconium,²⁴ cobalt,²⁵ tin^{26,27} and vanadium.²⁸ The present work explores the introduction of a transition metal, cobalt (Co) into β -In₂S₃ nanoflakes by chemical bath deposition (CBD) at relatively low temperatures. The doping of Co has been confirmed by optical studies. Co-doped β -In₂S₃ showed an improved PEC activity due to an increase in the photo and electroactivity. To the best of our knowledge, there is only one report on Co-doped β -In₂S₃ which is an atomic layer confined doping in three stacked layers and used as photoanode for PEC water oxidation. They reported a current density of 1.17 mA cm^{-2} at an applied potential of 1.5 V (vs. RHE) in the basic electrolyte.²⁵ Mesoporous TiO₂ offers a robust support for the deposition of sensitizers in solar energy conversion applications. In particular, metal sulfides can be easily deposited onto $TiO₂$ using a chemical bath because of good adhesion properties. The electronic communication between the sensitizer and TiO₂ is also high due to the strong electron affinity of TiO₂.²⁵

View Article Online

CHEMISTRY

^aDepartment of Chemical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Hyderabad, Kandi, Sangareddy-502285, Telangana, India. E-mail: meduripraveen@iith.ac.in

^bDepartment of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology Hyderabad, Kandi, Sangareddy-502285, Telangana, India

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c8ra09660k

RSC Advances **RSC Advances** Paper **RSC Advances** Paper **Paper Paper Paper Paper** Paper Pap

The adhesion of β -In₂S₃ nanoparticles on to bare fluorine doped tin oxide (FTO) substrate is poor and does get peeled off during testing. TiO₂ coating on FTO leads to even deposition of β -In₂S₃ with improved adhesion. The photogenerated electrons of β - In_2S_3 can easily transfer to the conduction band of TiO₂ due to its proper band alignment, thus separating the photogenerated electron–hole pairs effectively. In the present work, all the doped and un-doped samples are deposited on $TiO₂$ layer.

Experimental

Chemicals

Indium chloride from Alfa Aesar; thioacetamide, ethyl cellulose and terpineol from Sigma Aldrich; titanium tetrachloride (TiCl4) and cobalt acetate tetrahydrate from Merck are used without further purification. TiO₂ powder (P25) is obtained from Evonik. Deionized water with a resistivity of 18.2 Ω cm is obtained from a Millipore Direct-Q3 UV system. FTO substrates obtained from Pilkington are cleaned in soap solution, 30% HCl, twice distilled water, ethanol and acetone in that particular sequence prior to use.

Preparation of electrodes

Synthesis of TiO₂ layers. TiO₂ paste is prepared by mixing 100 mg of TiO₂ powder with 50 mg of ethyl cellulose and 1 mL of terpineol which is then applied over a FTO plate by doctor blading. TiO₂ film is further dried at 60 °C for 30 min followed by annealing at 500 °C for 30 min. An extra layer of TiO₂ is deposited using the same procedure as above for reducing the surface roughness of the deposited $TiO₂$ film. These samples are then immersed in an aqueous solution of 0.4 M TiCl₄ at 70 $^{\circ}$ C for 20 min. The coated substrate is rinsed in ultrapure water to remove unadsorbed excess TiO₂ and further annealed at 500 $^{\circ}$ C for 30 min.

Synthesis of TiO₂/Co-doped β -In₂S₃ electrodes. InCl₃·4H₂O (0.22 g) and thioacetamide (0.11 g) are dissolved in distilled water (12.5 mL). Different mol% (2, 5 and 8 mol%) of cobalt precursor is added to synthesize Co-doped β -In₂S₃ electrodes. The $TiO₂$ coated FTOs are dipped in the precursor solution and kept at 80 °C for 2 h under stirring conditions. The samples are washed with water to remove excess material and precursor solution on the surface; dried at 60 $^{\circ}$ C for 12 h and annealed at 120 °C for 1 h in vacuum. TiO₂/ β -In₂S₃ electrodes are synthesized by following the same procedure without addition of cobalt precursor.

Fig. 1a depicts the fabrication of photoelectrodes. Fig. 1b shows the as-synthesized powders of β -In₂S₃ and Co-doped β - $In₂S₃$ nanoflakes with a difference in color indicating a change in the optical emission properties. The mol% that is referred to in the entire document represents added mol% in the solution. The actual wt% is calculated from energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) which is given in the Fig. S1 in ESI.†

Characterization

XRD graphs of the samples are obtained on a PANalytical X'PertPRO instrument with Cu-K α ($\lambda = 1.5406$ Å) radiation.

Fig. 1 (a) Schematic representation of the synthesis process using CBD and (b) color difference between as-synthesized β -In₂S₃ and Codoped β -In₂S₃.

HRTEM (High Resolution Transmission Electron Microscopy) images of β -In₂S₃ and Co-doped β -In₂S₃ samples are obtained on a HRTEM JEOL JEM 2100 with a LaB $_6$ filament operating at 200 kV. The samples are prepared by dispersing the powder in ethanol followed by ultrasonication for 1 hour before drop casting onto a carbon-coated copper grid. The solvent is evaporated and further used as a specimen for TEM. The optical absorption spectra of the films are measured in the diffuse reflectance mode and converted to absorbance using Kubelka-Munk function on a UV-Vis-NIR spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-3600). Raman spectra of the samples are recorded on a Bruker Senterra Dispersive Raman Microscope spectrometer. The laser excitation wavelength is fixed at 532 nm. Photoluminescence (PL) spectra of films are measured on a Horiba Flouromax-4 fluorescence spectrometer; a suitable filter is utilized during the measurement and background correction is applied. Surface morphology analysis is performed using a field emission scanning electron microscope (Carl Zeiss Supra 40 FE-SEM). The specific surface area is calculated from N_2 adsorption–desorption isotherms measured using a Micromeritics ASAP 2020 instrument using the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) method. Pore volume and pore-size distribution are calculated by using the Barrett–Joyner–Halenda (BJH) method.

Photoelectrochemical performances

Photoelectrochemical data is studied using Autolab PGSTAT 302N equipped with NOVA 1.11 software. The light source is a white LED (40 W) and the intensity of the light is adjusted to 1 sun by keeping the optimum distance between the light and the sample. Light intensities are measured with a LOT-Oriel radiometer-photometer (ILT: 1400). A three electrode cell with the prepared β -In₂S₃ (or) Co-doped β -In₂S₃ samples as working electrode, a platinum wire as the counter electrode and Ag/AgCl as the reference electrode is used. 0.5 M Na_2SO_4 (buffered to pH $= 7$) is used as an electrolyte for all the measurements. The measured Ag/AgCl reference electrode potentials are converted to reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) by using Nernst equation $E_{\text{RHE}} = E_{\text{Ag/AgCl}} + 0.0591 \text{ pH} + 0.199 \text{ V}$. The photocurrent is measured by linear sweep voltammetry in the range of 0 to 1.6 V ν s. RHE at a scan rate of 10 mV s⁻¹. The time dependent

Results and discussion

XRD patterns of as-synthesized samples are shown in Fig. 2a. Peaks for $TiO₂$ correspond to the body-centered tetragonal structure of TiO₂ (PDF: 894921). The diffraction patterns in the range of 10–90 $^{\circ}$ can be indexed as cubic phase β -In₂S₃ structure (PDF: 650459). The diffraction peak of β -In₂S₃ at $2\theta = 33.45^{\circ}$ can be prominently seen which is indexed to (400) plane of β -In₂S₃ and the other peaks overlap with $TiO₂$. Fig. 2b shows the XRD peaks without $TiO₂$. No distinct characteristic peaks are visible in XRD of the doped samples which rules out the formation of a new compound, potentially cobalt sulfide $(Cos₂)$. The broadened peaks do indicate that the as-synthesized samples consist of nanomaterials *i.e.* nanoflakes in this case. The β -In₂S₃ crystal has a defect spinel lattice structure; there are twelve tetrahedral sites in β -In₂S₃ of which eight are occupied by In³⁺ ion whereas four are empty. These empty sites can be potentially occupied by other metals,³⁰ paving ways for doping of metals in β -In₂S₃ at synthesis temperatures of β -In₂S₃ *i.e.*, low temperatures. Cobaltdoped in our study is believed to occupy one or more empty tetrahedral sites of β -In₂S₃ which is confirmed by optical studies and discussed a little later. We observe a shift of 0.1° for the (400) plane in the doped sample and the crystallite size decreases with increasing Co^{2+} ion concentration in doped samples. The smaller size of $Co²⁺$ ion (70 pm) in comparison to the In³⁺ ion (80 pm) occupying the empty tetrahedral sites and binding to the other elements in the crystal causes a reduction in the overall crystallite size of the material. The average crystallite sizes of β -In₂S₃, Co-doped β -In₂S₃ with 2, 5 and 8 mol% are 9.22 nm, 9.2 nm, 8.08 nm, and 7.77 nm respectively. Fig. 2c

Fig. 2 (a) X-ray diffraction pattern of (i) $TiO₂$ (ii) $TiO₂/\beta$ - $In₂S₃$ (iii) (iv) and (v) $TiO₂/Co-doped β -ln₂S₃ with 2, 5, 8 mol% respectively (b) X-ray$ diffraction pattern without TiO₂ (c) UV-Vis-NIR diffuse reflectance spectra (d) Raman spectra.

shows the UV-Vis-NIR diffuse reflectance spectra for both doped and undoped samples. The undoped β -In₂S₃ nanoflakes have an absorption peak at 650 nm which correlates to a band gap of 1.9 eV. The doped samples have three sub-bandgap absorption peaks in the range of 650–900 nm corresponding to new energy levels in addition to the absorption peak at 650 nm. The position of the β -In₂S₃ absorption peak in the visible region did not alter with the doping level. The three peaks seem to overlay against each other and appear as one broad peak.¹⁶ The broad peak is indicative of the tetrahedrally coordinated $Co²⁺$ ion transitions, ²E(G) \rightarrow ⁴A₂(F), ⁴T₁(P) \rightarrow ⁴A₂(F), ²A₁(G) \rightarrow ⁴A₂(F) respectively.²⁵ The twelve In³⁺ sites in the spinel β -In₂S₃ structure have tetrahedral coordination while there is no tetrahedral $Co²⁺$ co-ordination in $CoS₂$ (cubic pyrite structure). Hence, the broad peak above indicates that $Co²⁺$ ions are located at tetrahedral sites in β -In₂S₃ which is indicative of doping.^{31,32} The incorporation of Co^{2+} ion in the vacant sites does improve photoabsorption. Cobalt sites in β -In₂S₃ can act as trapping sites for the photogenerated electrons/holes which will prevent their recombination.

Raman spectra in Fig. 2d shows a characteristic peak at 305 cm⁻¹ which pertains to A_{1g} mode confirming the structure and phase of β -In₂S₃ nanoflakes.³³ This peak is ascribed to symmetric stretching of the vibrating mode in $InS₄$ tetrahedra. Fig. 2d shows a considerable shift from 305 $\rm cm^{-1}$ to 297.7 $\rm cm^{-1}$ when β -In₂S₃ is doped with cobalt. The incorporation of Co²⁺ ions in the tetrahedral sites and binding to other elements (sulfur) can lead to bond stretching of In–S which is seen as a blueshift in the observed spectra. Furthermore, only a slight shift from 297.7 cm^{-1} to 296.3 cm^{-1} is observed when the Co mol% is increased in the sample. It shows that once bond stretching happens, further addition of $Co²⁺$ does not alter the binding to sulfur which is indicative of $Co²⁺$ doping rather than formation of \cos_2 . In addition, it also indicates the saturation of Co^{2+} ions in the β -In₂S₃ structure beyond a certain concentration which is reflected in the electrochemical data.

SEM images in Fig. 3a and b show the agglomerated particles of β -In₂S₃ and Co-doped β -In₂S₃ deposited on TiO₂ respectively. These uniform microspheres have an average size of $1-1.5 \mu m$ in both the doped and undoped samples. These microspheres consist of bundles of nanoflakes as shown in TEM images. Fig. 3c and d show nanoflakes of 5 mol% Co-doped β -In₂S₃ which are of 20–30 nm width and 40–50 nm length. These small nanoflakes tend to collate during stirring in the CBD process which leads to the formation of microspheres or nanoflowers.^{22,33}

In order to confirm the presence of Co doping in β -In₂S₃, Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy (EDS) analysis and elemental mapping studies have been carried out. Fig. 4a shows the SEM image of TiO₂/Co-doped β -In₂S₃. The cross-sectional view of the TiO₂/Co-doped β -In₂S₃ film in Fig. 4b shows homogenous and well-integrated layers which lead to low recombination losses.³⁴ Owing to the porosity of TiO₂ layer, Co-doped β -In₂S₃ nanoparticles easily percolate through and coat the $TiO₂$ particles. Thus, the resulting TiO₂/Co-doped β -In₂S₃ film appears as a single homogeneous entity and the thickness of the film is inferred to be nearly 8.10 μ m. Fig. 4c-e show the elemental

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence.

Fig. 3 SEM images of (a) TiO_2/β -In₂S₃ and (b) TiO_2/Co -doped β -In₂S₃ (5 mol%). TEM images of (c) 5 mol% Co-doped β -In₂S₃ with clearly visible nanoflakes (d) individual nanoflake of 5 mol% Co-doped β -In₂S₃ (e) nanoflakes of 8 mol% Co-doped β -In₂S₃ and (f) SAED pattern of 8 mol% Co-doped β -In₂S₃ indicating crystallinity.

Fig. 4 SEM images of (a) $TiO₂/Co-doped \beta-In₂S₃$ (b) cross sectional view of TiO₂/Co-doped β -In₂S₃ film and (c–e) EDS elemental mapping of selected area of inset in (a).

mapping of 5 mol% Co-doped β -In₂S₃. Fig. 4e clearly demonstrates the uniform distribution of cobalt in the β -In₂S₃ crystal structure. Line scan of an individual Co-doped β -In₂S₃ particle

Fig. 5 Comparison of TiO₂/ β -In₂S₃ and TiO₂/Co-doped β -In₂S₃ (5 mol%) properties using (a) nitrogen adsorption–desorption isotherms and (b) photoluminescence (PL) spectra.

also confirms the uniform distribution of cobalt in the particle which is shown in ESI (Fig. S1).†

The specific surface area and porosity are calculated by N_2 adsorption–desorption isotherms (Fig. 5b). Both the isotherms are identified as type IV with a hysteresis loop which is a characteristic of mesoporous materials. Mesopores primarily arise due to the spaces between the nanoflakes in the microspheres.³⁵ The BET surface area of the β -In₂S₃ and 5 mol% Co-doped β -In₂S₃ are estimated to be 52.17 m² g⁻¹ and 101.15 m² g⁻¹, respectively. The doping of Co does increase specific surface area significantly. The values are reported in ESI (Table 1).[†] Generally, doping is believed to inhibit the particle growth and lead to an increase in the specific surface area. The as-prepared Co-doped β -In₂S₃ showed a higher specific surface area than most of the reported values.³⁶ High surface area can provide more active sites for the adsorption of reactants on the surface which improves interfacial charge transfer thus improving the photocatalytic properties.

Photoluminescence data presented here indicates the recombination intensity. Lower PL intensity indicates less recombination of photo-induced electron–hole pairs, and higher photocatalytic activity.^{27,37} Fig. 5b shows the PL spectra of both pure β -In₂S₃ and 5 mol% Co-doped β -In₂S₃. PL quenching is shown at an excitation wavelength of 350 nm. 5 mol% Codoped β -In₂S₃ displays significantly diminished intensity as compared to pure β -In₂S₃ which is indicative of the efficient charge separation of photogenerated electron–hole pairs and lower recombination. Improved efficiency is due to Co doping which delayed the recombination rate of photogenerated charge carriers of β -In₂S₃.

Fig. 6a shows the schematic of 3-electrode setup where the electron transfer mechanism is explained. The photogenerated electrons under irradiation move from β -In₂S₃ to TiO₂ due to their proper band alignment. The holes in β -In₂S₃ help in water oxidation. Photoanode studies are generally carried out in basic medium as oxygen evolution is higher with high hydroxide ion concentration. Even though the currents are high, extreme pH conditions will damage other parts of the cell with prolonged use leading to maintenance and safety issues in practical applications.³⁸ Therefore, we use neutral pH solution for all our testing purposes unless indicated otherwise. Fig. 6b shows linear sweep voltammograms (LSV) of the β -In₂S₃, Co-doped β -In₂S₃ electrodes in Argon gas purged 0.5 M Na₂SO₄ (pH = 7) under light and dark conditions. All the electrodes showed

Fig. 6 Photoelectrochemical properties of $TiO₂/B-In₂S₃$, $TiO₂/Co$ doped β -In₂S₃ with 2, 5 and 8 mol%. (a) Three electrode measurement setup (b) linear sweep voltammograms in light and dark conditions (c) chrono-amperometry curves under chopped light at 1.23 V vs. RHE and (d) photoconversion efficiency of all samples.

negligible current in the potential range of 0 to 1.6 V *vs.* RHE under dark conditions. Current densities are reported at a potential of 1.23 V *vs.* RHE. The photocurrent density of pure β-In₂S₃ is 0.32 mA cm⁻². The photocurrent densities of 2, 5 and 8 mol% Co-doped β-In₂S₃ are 0.37, 0.69 and 0.46 mA cm⁻² respectively. The photoanodes exhibited an onset potential of 0.14 V *vs.* RHE which is quite low in comparison to the literature reported values.²⁴ The low onset potential indicates good charge carrier separation and transport in the photoanode. Among all the doped samples, 5 mol% Co-doped β -In₂S₃ shows the best performance with double the current density of pure β -In₂S₃. Particularly, Co^{2+} ions in β -In₂S₃ nanoflakes act as trapping sites for the photogenerated electrons which will prevent the recombination of electron–hole pairs and lead to enhancement of photoactivity. It leads to higher density of charge carriers. As cobalt is a transition metal with well-known electroactivity, $25,39,40$ it also acts as an active site for the promotion of water oxidation reaction. Hence doped β -In₂S₃ leads to the enhancement of both photo and electro activity. However, the increase in cobalt concentration beyond 5 mol% does not increase the current density. The probable cause for this is that the low temperatures limit the diffusion of cobalt ion inside the material but rather deposit on the surface beyond a certain concentration. Hence, the activity goes down after 5 mol% doping of cobalt.

Fig. 6c shows chronoamperometry (CA) curves of all the synthesized electrodes under chopped light at 1.23 V *vs.* RHE. High and stable photocurrent density is obtained for 5 mol% Co-doped β -In₂S₃ when compared to other electrodes. Fig. 6d shows the solar to hydrogen (STH) conversion efficiency curves as a function of the applied voltage, which is calculated using the equation

$$
\eta = \frac{J_{\text{ph}}[1.23 - V]}{P_{\text{in}}}
$$

Fig. 7 TEM images of (a) 5 mol% Co-doped β -ln₂S₃ before testing and (b) $TiO₂/Co-doped β -ln₂S₃ (5 mol%) after testing.$

where $J_{\rm ph} =$ photocurrent density (mA cm $^{-2})$ at the measured bias, $V =$ applied bias potential *versus* reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE). P_{in} = power density of light illumination, 100 $mW cm^{-2}$.

5 mol% Co-doped β -In₂S₃ achieves the highest efficiency of 0.42% at 0.42 V, which is double than pure β -In₂S₃ (0.24% at 0.37 V). However, the onset potentials of all the electrodes are the same suggesting no occurrence of compositional and structural modification by introduction of cobalt. We also analyzed the samples as well as electrolyte after water oxidation for a span of 1 h. The electrolyte after prolonged illumination is mixed with thioacetamide and heated to 80 \degree C for 2 h under stirring to check for indium dissolution. There is no change in the color of the electrolyte, which is indicative of no indium dissolution. In addition, we analyzed the TEM images before and after testing which are shown in Fig. 7. The *d*-spacing of (311) plane in Co-doped β -In₂S₃ is 0.32 nm. Co-doped β -In₂S₃ samples analyzed using TEM show no change in the *d*-spacing even after testing indicating no structural transformation to indium oxide. This shows that indeed, the stability of the Codoped β -In₂S₃ is good and have a strong potential to be used practically.

Conclusions

In summary, Co-doped β -In₂S₃ nanoflakes are synthesized using chemical bath deposition at relatively low temperatures. 5 mol% Co-doped β -In₂S₃ nanoflakes with a current density of 0.69 mA $\mathrm{cm^{-2}}$ at 1.23 V *vs*. RHE and onset potential of 0.14 V *vs*. RHE showed superior performance in comparison to pure β -In₂S₃. In addition, it can be seen that the Co-doped β -In₂S₃ samples are stable in the neutral electrolyte.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Indian Institute of Technology, Hyderabad for the financial support (seed grant no: SG/IITH/F148/ 2016-17/SG-6) in providing the necessary research facilities. We would also like to thank the TEM and XRD facilities in the institute for their help.

Notes and references

- 1 E. Fabbri, A. Habereder, E. Waltar, R. Kotz and R. J. Schmidt, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2014, 4, 3800–3821.
- 2 A. Fujishima and K. Honda, *Nature*, 1972, 238, 37–38.
- 3 R. A. He, S. W. Cao and J. G. Yu, *Acta Phys.-Chim. Sin.*, 2016, 32, 2841–2870.
- 4 K. Sivula, F. Le Formal and M. Gratzel, *ChemSusChem*, 2011, 4, 432–449.
- 5 S. V. Kershaw, A. S. Susha and A. L. Rogach, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, 42, 3033–3087.
- 6 P. Zhang, L. Yu and X. W. Lou, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, 57, 15076–15080.
- 7 P. Kulkarni, S. K. Nataraj, R. G. Balakrishna, D. H. Nagaraju and M. V. Reddy, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2017, 5, 22040–22094.
- 8 W. Sheng, Y. Song, M. Dou, J. Ji and F. Wang, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2018, 436, 613–623.
- 9 Z. Sun, Q. Yue, J. Li, J. Xu, H. Zheng and P. Du, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2015, 3, 10243–10247.
- 10 M. Nguyen, P. D. Tran, S. S. Pramana, R. L. Lee, S. K. Batabyal, N. Mathews and M. Graetzel, *Nanoscale*, 2013, 5, 1479–1482.
- 11 J. Zhang, Z. Zhu, Y. Tang, K. Mullen and X. Feng, *Adv. Mater.*, 2014, 26, 734–738.
- 12 P. Zhang, B. Y. Guan, L. Yu and X. W. Lou, *Chem*, 2018, 4, 162–173.
- 13 H. Jia, W. He, X. Chen, Y. Lei and Z. Zheng, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2011, 21, 12824–12828.
- 14 J. Kim, H. Hiroi, T. K Todorov, O. Gunawan, M. Kuwahara, T. Gokmen, D. Nair, M. Hopstaken, B. Shin, Y. S. Lee and W. Wang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2014, 26, 7427–7431.
- 15 S. K. Sarkar, J. Y. Kim, D. N. Goldstein, N. R. Neale, K. Zhu, C. M. Elliott, A. J. Frank and S. M. George, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2010, 114, 8032–8039.
- 16 Y. Tian, L. Wang, H. Tang and W. Zhou, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2015, 3, 11294–11301.
- 17 T. Li, S. Zhang, S. Meng, X. Ye, X. Fu and S. Chen, *RSC Adv.*, 2017, 7, 6457–6466.
- 18 F. Jiang, T. Harada, Y. Kuang, T. Minegishi, K. Domen and S. Ikeda, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, 137, 13691–13697.
- 19 M. Q. Yang, B. Weng and Y. J. Xu, *Nano Res.*, 2013, 29, 10549– 10558.
- 20 J. Y. Do, R. K. Chava, S. K. Kim, K. Nahm, N. K. Park, J. P. Hong, S. J. Lee and M. Kang, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2018, 451, 86–98.
- 21 B. Sun, F. Shan, X. Jiang, J. Ji and F. Wang, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2018, 435, 822–831.
- 22 C. Gao, J. Li, Z. Shan, F. Huang and H. Shen, *Mater. Chem. Phys.*, 2010, 122, 183–187.
- 23 R. Xu, H. Li, W. Zhang, Z. Yang, G. Liu, Z. Xu, H. Shao and G. Qiao, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2016, 18, 2710–2717.
- 24 L. Wang, L. Xia, Y. Wu and Y. Tian, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2016, 4, 2606–2614.
- 25 F. Lei, L. Zhang, Y. Sun, L. Liang, K. Liu, J. Xu, Q. Zhang, B. Pan, Y. Luo and Y. Xie, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, 54, 9266–9270.
- 26 M. Kilani, C. Guasch, M. Castagne and N. Kamoun-Turki, *J. Mater. Sci.*, 2012, 47, 3198–3203.
- 27 J. Feng, Z. Yang, S. He, X. Niu, T. Zhang, A. Ding, H. Liang and X. Feng, *Chemosphere*, 2018, 212, 114–123.
- 28 C. Tapia, S. P. Berglund, D. Friedrich, T. Dittrich, P. Bogdanoff, Y. Liu, S. Levcenko, T. Unold, J. C. Conesa, A. L. De Lacey and M. Pita, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2016, 120, 28753–28761.
- 29 J. Piris, A. J. Ferguson, J. L. Blackburn, A. G. Norman, G. Rumbles, D. C. Selmarten and N. Kopidakis, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2008, 112, 7742–7749.
- 30 Z. Zheng, J. Yu, S. Cheng, Y. Lai, Q. Zheng and D. Pan, *J. Mater. Sci.: Mater. Electron.*, 2016, 27, 5810–5817.
- 31 Y. Lu, Y. Lin, D. Wang, L. Wang, T. Xie and T. Jiang, *Nano Res.*, 2011, 11, 1144–1152.
- 32 R. He, B. Tang, C. Ton-That, M. Phillips and T. Tsuzuki, *J. Nanopart. Res.*, 2013, 15, 2030.
- 33 A. K. Nayak, S. Lee, Y. Sohn and D. Pradhan, *CrystEngComm*, 2014, 16, 8064–8072.
- 34 O. Niitsoo, S. K. Sarkar, C. Pejoux, S. Ruhle, D. Cahen and ¨ G. Hodes, *J. Photochem. Photobiol., A*, 2006, 181, 306–313.
- 35 C. Wei, W. Guo, J. Yang, H. Fan, J. Zhang and W. Zheng, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, 4, 50456–50463.
- 36 Y. Cheng, H. Niu, J. Chen, J. Song, C. Mao, S. Zhang and Y. Gao, *J. Nanopart. Res.*, 2017, 19(5), 166.
- 37 J. Liqiang, Q. Yichun, W. Baiqi, L. Shudan, J. Baojiang, Y. Libin, F. Wei, F. Honggang and S. Jiazhong, *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells*, 2006, 90, 1773–1787.
- 38 S. Y. Chae, P. Sudhagar, A. Fujishima, Y. J. Hwang and O. S. Joo, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2015, 17, 7714–7719.
- 39 A. Kaushik, B. Dalela, S. Kumar, P. A. Alvi and S. Dalela, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2013, 552, 274–278.
- 40 C. Bi, L. Pan, M. Xu, J. Yin, Z. Guo, L. Qin, H. Zhu and J. Q. Xiao, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 2009, 481, 220–223.